

Paper: “Effets du Niveau d’Instruction de la Mère sur l’État Nutritionnel des Enfants de Moins de Cinq ans au Bénin”

Submitted: 03 May 2023

Accepted: 26 June 2023

Published: 30 June 2023

Corresponding Author: Patrice Hounkpevi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n16p176](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n16p176)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Koffi Konan

Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé de Daloa, Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Oui, le titre du manuscrit est clair et adéquat au contenu.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé est bien rédigé. En revanche, il ne précise pas le contexte de l'étude. Il ne fait pas mention du nombre de couples mères/enfants concernés par cette étude. Il ne précise pas non plus la posture théorique dans laquelle s'inscrit l'étude. Le résumé ne présente pas clairement la méthode utilisée.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Le manuscrit est rédigé avec un excellent niveau de langue. Cependant, il contient des fautes de grammaire et d'orthographe que je recommande aux auteurs de corriger afin d'améliorer la qualité du travail. A ce niveau, des propositions ont été faites aux auteurs dans le manuscrit. Aussi, je suggère que les auteurs évitent l'emploi abusif de "on" de "nous" et de "notre" dans le texte. Je recommande aux auteurs d'utiliser la forme impersonnelle afin de garantir la qualité rédactionnelle du manuscrit. Le respect de cette orientation permettra également d'améliorer la qualité rédactionnelle des auteurs.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Les méthodes de l'étude sont clairement détaillées.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps du manuscrit est soigneusement présenté. En revanche, il contient des fautes et des expressions qu'il convient de corriger et d'améliorer.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion est bien rédigée. Cependant, elle ne mentionne pas le contexte de l'étude.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La liste des références est appropriée.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Relire attentivement le manuscrit afin de procéder de corriger les éventuelles fautes d'orthographe, de grammaire, etc.

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear. However, It needs to be more precise. I suggest this one:
Effets du niveau d’instruction de la mère sur l’état nutritionnel des enfants de moins de cinq ans au Bénin

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract presents clearly objects and results. However, The methods used should be more precise.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are some grammatical errors and spelling mistakes. I correct most of them inside the document.
The authors need to avoid using the first pronoun.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are really clearly explained. However, for a better understanding, It needs brief information about the method of secondary data collection. It allows one to understand better the results.
One important thing that could make the results unacceptable, is that the assumptions of logistic regression are not checked.
The data sources must be cited.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear. However, some parts such as the introduction and the discussion should be rewritten.

In the introduction, the literature review is sparse. The authors should rewrite the introduction, make in block the literature review, highlight its limitations, pose the research question, announce the research objectives and finish with the roadmap. Regarding the discussion, the authors should highlight:

- the points of similarity and divergence with regard to previous research
- the interpretation of the results
- the originality of the research
- the limits of the research

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supported the content. However, the author should suggest at the end further research.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references must follow APA citation. The authors can read the authors' guidelines

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It is very important for the authors to check the assumptions of logistic regression without that the results might be scientifically invalid.
