

Paper: **“Commerce et Autonomisation des Femmes en Zone CEMAC”**

Submitted: 02 April 2023

Accepted: 26 June 2023

Published: 30 June 2023

Corresponding Author: Mbang Marthe Olga

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n16p232](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n16p232)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Gbamé Hervé Daniel

Laboratoire d'Analyses et de Recherches en Economie et Gestion, Cote d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Abdoulaye Maïga

Université des Sciences Sociales et de Gestion de Bamako (USSGB), Mali

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: 25 Mai 2023	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 43.04.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Thème d'actualité puisqu'il s'inscrit dans la dynamique de la réduction de la pauvreté dans les pays en développement.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3

Le résumé doit mettre en exergue l'objectif de l'étude, la période et zone de l'étude, la source des données, la méthodologie utilisée, les résultats puis les recommandations clés.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p>A ce niveau il y a beaucoup d'amalgames. L'auteur est invité à revoir toute la démarche économétrique. Nous revenons sur quelques tests</p> <p>Le test de spécification nous renseigne sur l'homogénéité ou non du processus générateur de données. Sur le plan économique, les tests de spécification reviennent à déterminer si l'on est en droit de supposer que le modèle théorique étudié est parfaitement identique pour tous les pays ou au contraire s'il existe des spécificités propres à chaque pays. En la matière vous avez une P-value de 0,000 et vous concluez à des caractéristiques similaires (A revoir).</p> <p>Au niveau du test de dépendance, vous avez un T (periode de temps) supérieur à n (nombre d'individu dans le panel) alors vous devriez faire un Test de dépendance de Breusch-Pagan et non celui de Pesaran (2001). A partir des résultats, on peut savoir si nous devons effectuer un test de stationnarité de première ou seconde génération.</p> <p>Pour ma part, vous devriez reprendre toute la démarche de l'estimation</p>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Les résultats sont tributaires de la démarche des estimations. Or en la matière, la démarche des estimations est très bancal. L'auteur doit reprendre les estimations et par conséquent les résultats	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Tout est lié puisque la conclusion dépend des résultats	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<p>Pour les références, une reorganization s'impose</p> <p>Un exemple que je donne:</p> <p>Kis-Katos, K., Pieters, J., & Sparrow, R. (2018). Globalization and social change: Gender-specific effects of trade liberalization in Indonesia. <i>IMF Economic Review</i>, 66, 763-793.</p>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le thème est d'actualité certes mais l'auteur a péché dans la démarche des estimations. Il doit revoir la démarche vu que les résultats et la conclusion en dépendent.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Maïga	
University/Country: Université des Sciences Sociales et de Gestion de Bamako (USSGB)	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: Commerce et autonomisation des femmes en zone CEMAC	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: