

**Paper: “Awareness, Attitude and Utilization of Orange-Fleshed Sweet Potato (OFSP): Critical Literature Review”**

**Submitted: 31 January 2023**

**Accepted: 21 June 2023**

**Published: 30 June 2023**

Corresponding Author: Abujaja Afishata Mohammed

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n18p36](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n18p36)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Muchelule Yusuf Wanjala

Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture and Technology, Kenya

-----  
Reviewer G:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

the title should be reviewed to "A critical Review of value-addition products derived from Orange Fleshed Sweet Potatoes in Ghana".

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

the abstract need to be reviewed thoroughly because its a brief statement of the problem, objectives of the study, target population, sampling technique and sample size, instruments, data collection, data processing and analysis, key findings and major recommendations some of this key components of abstract are missing.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

yes in terms of correctness, clarity and conceptual spellings issues which i have suggested some corrections on the document.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Apart from secondary source of data other study methods like Research design, unit of observation and unit of analysis is not clear as well as sample frame and and technique used should be worked on also. Briefly the whole part of methods should seriously reviewed

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

their some grammatical errors and basic formatting issues.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

yes but need to be improved on.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

references are well done

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Good work

-----

-----  
Moustapha MOUNIROU  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

-----  
Reviewer L:  
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

AWARENESS, ATTITUDE AND UTILIZATION OF ORANGE-FLESHED SWEET POTATO (OFSP): CRITICAL LITERATURE REVIEW  
While the title is acceptable, most of the terms are missing from the body of the text. It is advised to use the same terms throughout and in the same order.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Author provides acceptable abstract with comments noted for suggestion on the attached reviewed manuscript such as including recommendations for future studies.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are grammatical errors in need of correction. In addition, citations and references fail to follow APA 7th edition.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Methods in need of improvement. See attached.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

There are areas of the paper in need of improvement. See attached.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Conclusion would be improved with references of the findings to the framework that is guiding the study.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

See above, formatting fails to follow APA 7th edition. Ensure all citations are listed in the references and vice versa.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Suggested to review comments on paper and consider revising with major focus on methods and conclusions.

Address how the paper can better align what is stated in the title such as attitudes, utilization and attitude. Author states ample reasons for why the product should be purchased and consumed but few details on how it should be done for those areas not purchasing and consuming to address attitudes, etc.

-----