

Paper: “Parcours d’Entrée en Vie Féconde et Recours à la Contraception Moderne chez les Femmes en Union en Afrique de l’Ouest”

Submitted: 17 May 2023

Accepted: 27 June 2023

Published: 30 June 2023

Corresponding Author: Barry Ousmane

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n18p262](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n18p262)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yawo Kodjo
Université Yaoundé 2, Cameroun

Reviewer 2: Elena Hunt
Laurentian University, Canada

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 25/05/203	Date Review Report Submitted: 31/05/2023
Manuscript Title : Parcours d'entrée en vie féconde et pratiques contraceptives chez les femmes en union en Afrique de l'Ouest	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0603/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>Oui mais le titre est à reformuler car il n'a été question dans tout le texte que de la contraception moderne</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>Effectivement, c'est bien structuré</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Exactement, ce sont des fautes mineures</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Oui mais la méthode d'estimation des coefficients prédictifs n'a pas été spécifiée. Car il existe plusieurs méthodes d'estimation de ces coefficients.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>Oui mais la variable religion dans 4 pays (Mali, Sénégal, Niger et Guinée) poserait un problème de robustesse des résultats. Il serait pertinent de la retirer, reprendre la modélisation afin d'avoir des résultats robustes et comparables entre pays.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>C'est exact. Mais les recommandations doivent aller jusqu'à la variable indépendante principale, qui en réalité est l'indicateur d'originalité de l'étude.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>Bien fourni. Le grand problème concerne les références qui n'ont pas été citées dans le document. Il faut aussi relire l'approche de référencement APA recommandée par la revue.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. Adapter le titre au contenu du manuscrit ;
2. Retirer si possible la variable religion de l'étude afin d'assurer la robustesse des résultats et leur comparabilité entre pays ;
3. Discuter de façon approfondie les résultats ;
4. Référencer uniquement des documents/articles qui se trouvent dans le corps du document ;
5. Corriger les fautes mineures de langue.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Elena Hunt	
University/Country: Laurentian University	
Date Manuscript Received: Le 16 juin	Date Review Report Submitted: Le 23 juin 2023
Manuscript Title: Parcours d'entrée en vie féconde et pratiques contraceptives chez les femmes en union en Afrique de l'Ouest	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>Beaucoup des références essentielles datent de plus de 15-20 ans. Une explication d'une rédaction et soumission si tardive est de mise.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Cet article est bien rédigé, méthodique et rigoureux, la thématique est importante. Un plaisir à lire ☐

Cependant, votre texte deviendra un article, pas un 'papier'. Veuillez remplacer cet anglicisme partout dans votre article.

La traduction du résumé en anglais doit être soumise à un expert.

Veillez à justifier la publication de l'étude sur des anciennes données en 2023.

Bonne chance!

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: