

Paper: “Stratégies d’Approvisionnement en Eau dans les Opérations de Construction en Périphérie Urbaine de Daloa”

Submitted: 05 April 2023

Accepted: 08 July 2023

Published: 31 July 2023

Corresponding Author: Atsé Laudose Miguel Eleazarus

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n19p103](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n19p103)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kan Alexis Koffi
Université Alassane Ouattara, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Moussa Martin Tessougue
Université des Sciences Sociales et de Gestion de Bamako (USSGB) au Mali

Reviewer D:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre correspond au contenu de l'article. Cependant, il ne doit pas contenir de déterminant.

The title corresponds to the content of the article. However, it must not contain a determiner.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé présente bien les objets et les résultats, cependant, il ne présente pas les méthodes.

The abstract presents the objects and results well, but it does not present the methods.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Méthodes insuffisantes et ne sont pas expliquées clairement

Le traitement des données ???

Elle doit en plus de la présentation de la zone, présenter les données et leurs sources, en montrant le traitement des données.

Montrer également les matériels qui ont servi au traitement des données.

-Methods insufficient and not clearly explained

-Data processing ???

-In addition to presenting the area, it should present the data and its sources, showing how the data was processed.

-It should also show the equipment used to process the data.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps du texte est bon dans l'ensemble.

Cependant, les phrases sont parfois trop longues.

Adopter le style "sujet + verbe + complément"

The body of the text is good on the whole.

However, the sentences are sometimes too long.

Adopt the "subject + verb + complement" style.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion est bien, mais un peu longue. Elle doit être précise, succincte et étayée le contenu du document.

The conclusion is fine, but a little long. It should be precise, succinct and support the content of the document.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La liste des références est complète et appropriée

The list of references is complete and appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: TESSOUGUE Moussa dit Martin | |
| University/Country: Université des Sciences Sociales et de Gestion de Bamako (USSGB) au MALI | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 12/06/2023 | Date Review Report Submitted: 15/06/2023 |
| Manuscript Title: Les stratégies d'approvisionnement en eau dans les opérations de construction en périphérie urbaine de Daloa | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 16640 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> |
|------------------|---------------------------|
| | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |

| | |
|---|----------|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The title needs to be revised and improved (see text with suggested correction). | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 2 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The summary has obscured the dimension of the economic impact of the informal activity of delivering water to construction sites. | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Grammatical errors and typos are rare, but there are a few that need to be corrected. | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> There are points of confusion in the methodology. For example, it is preferable to say "quantitative surveys" rather than "questionnaire surveys". The quantitative sample resulting from the simple random choice is little exploited in the presentation of the results. | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The results are well arranged. However, these are too literary results. It is then necessary to use reliable statistics to support the results. | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 2 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The conclusion is a repetition. It does not resort to the test of the hypothesis or to the opening of future research. | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The references of the authors are well mentioned (initial of the first name, last name, year, page). However, it is necessary to review the mode of presentation where 2 successive authors are cited. | |

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|--------------------------|
| Accepted, no revision needed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Reject | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The weak point of this manuscript resides in 2 points.

* Statistics are sparse and the author is satisfied with terms like "strong", "medium" and "weak". He would have to gain by quantifying his assessments.

* The author has 3 quantitative samples. In the results there is only one table to complete as well. The effort must be made to present the results related to each sample.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: