

Paper: **“Suicidal Behaviors Among Emerging Adults in Kenyan Universities”**

Submitted: 01 June 2023

Accepted: 06 July 2023

Published: 31 July 2023

Corresponding Author: Marion K. Mutwiri-Mwangi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n19p158](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n19p158)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ruth Keziah
University of Cape Coast, Ghana

Reviewer 2: Dosso Namodé Alice Épouse Binaté
Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly de Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dosso Namodé Alice épouse Binaté	
University/Country: Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly de Korhogo (Côte d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 26 juin 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 30 juin 2023
Manuscript Title: Suicide in Kenyan Universities	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0626/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

The title is clear and very revealing of the content. A very interesting subject. It touches on the mental health of Kenyan students, whose lives are full of stressful circumstances that make them vulnerable. It's very important to be aware of this.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
Yes, the abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Strong, clear expression. No elementary grammatical errors. Good level of language.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
Study methods are explained clearly	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
The results are clear and very interesting. They provide a wealth of very constructive data and information on the phenomenon of suicide in emerging adults, as they face up to the disturbing transitions in their lives.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
I loved revising this excellent work. I learnt a lot. This study would benefit from being replicated in universities in other countries, since the emerging adult is the adult of tomorrow, who deserves to be supported through these transitional phases to build a stronger, more developed society. This human resource needs to be better protected.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
No comment. The study was based on numerous scientific bibliographical references. All of which lends credence and value to the results obtained. We can clearly see the limits of previous work and what has been added. Very original work.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It would be a good idea to look for funding so that you can pass on this study to other universities in Kenya and other countries, using other teachers in other countries as focal points or relays. Talking about suicide in Africa is still taboo in many cultures.

Suicidal people are marginalised when all they really want is to be better identified and supported.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ruth K.Annan-Brew	
University/Country: University of Cape Coast/Ghana	
Date Manuscript Received: 19/06/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/06/2023
Manuscript Title: Suicide in Kenyan Universities.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0626/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

The title Suicide Behaviors in Kenyan Universities could have included the population of interest.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> It was absolute but with few grammatical errors	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> I did not see the qualitative bit too well.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The results were well discussed but without related literature support and few grammatical errors	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: