

Paper: **“Beneficial Farming Practices and Assistive Technologies for Veterans and Limited Resource Farmers”**

**Submitted: 12 June 2023**

**Accepted: 13 July 2023**

**Published: 31 July 2023**

Corresponding Author: Paula E. Faulkner

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p33](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p33)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Innocent Maduekwe  
University of Abuja, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Moustapha Maman Mounirou  
Université d’Ankara, Ankara, Turquie

-----  
Reviewer D:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

adequate to the content

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

extensive and clear

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

some but can be handled

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

explicit and clear

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

clear but with errors and some pointed out

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

accurate and supported by the content

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

comprehensive and appropriate

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

good thinking

-----

-----

Reviewer P:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is clear and precise.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Please explain in detail the methodology used.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Not serious errors.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes, but please explain in detail the methodology used.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

no

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Please enlarge the conclusion

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Yes. Enrich documents with research from 2022, 2023

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----