Paper: **"Identificación de Factores Asociados a la Ingesta Proteica Incidentes Sobre Función Renal en Deportistas de CrossFit®"**

Corresponding Author: Juan Manuel Viveros Paredes

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 22/jun/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 27/jun/2023
Manuscript Title: Análisis del efecto de la ingesta alta de proteínas y suplementación proteica sobre la función renal en deportistas de CrossFit	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0702/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**5**
El título si es claro, pero coincide muy poco con el objetivo general.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**5**

Si presenta la estructura universal de los abstracts.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**5**
Con la observación de que hay demasiado texto.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**4**
Favor de revisar minuciosamente los resultados.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**5**
Si estuvo exacta y precisa.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
Hay referencias muy antiguas, pueden mejorar este rubro.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**x**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. Favor de hacer concordar el objetivo con el título.
2. Resumir los resultados, están muy extendidos.
3. Revisar su inglés.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

El artículo si es publicable con correcciones menores.