

Paper: “Profils Epidemio–Cliniques et Paracliniques de l’Epilepsie chez les Adolescents vus au Centre de Neurologie d’Antananarivo Madagascar”

Submitted: 19 August 2022

Accepted: 19 July 2023

Published: 31 July 2023

Corresponding Author: Innocent Injakanasy

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p87](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p87)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Herveat Ramanandafy

Service medecine interne CHU Befelatanana, Madagascar

Reviewer 2: Moussa Mahamane Rhissa

Service d’odontostomatologie de l’Hôpital National de Niamey, Niger

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre est clair , concis , adapté au contenu de l'article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

L'abstract résume bien le contenu de l'article

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Quelques erreurs ont été constatées notamment au niveau du titre (profils..... vus au Madagascar). Dans la méthode, le profil (mettez S) épidémio-cliniques et paracliniques de l'épilepsie chez les adolescents vue auprès du Centre de Neurologie d'Antananarivo (CNA), Madagascar.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthodologie est bien expliquée sauf qu'il faudrait ajouter le caractéristique monocentrique de l'étude.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps du devoir est clair, concis, bien discuté, par contre il faudrait limiter de répéter le résultat dans cette partie.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion n'est pas clairement définie , car ce n'est pas une résumé (abstract), il faudrait dans ce sens reformuler la première paragraphe de cette partie.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La référence bibliographie est compréhensive par contre certaines références manques de volume , début et fin de page, qu'on saurait plus quel type d'article il est.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: RHISSA MAHAMANE	
University/Country: NIAMEY/ NIGER	
Date Manuscript Received: 03/ 07/2023	05/07/2023
Manuscript Title: PROFIL EPIDEMIO CLINIQUE ET PARACLINIQUE DE L'EPILEPSIE CHEZ LES ADOLESCENTS VUS AU CENTRE DE NEUROLOGIE D'ANTANANARIVO MADAGASCAR	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0636/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

<i>ATTENTION AUX FAUTES DANS LE TITRE</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>DEA CORRECTIONS A FAIRE</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	1
<i>BEAUCOUP DES FAUTES D'ORTHOGRAPHE</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>CONCLUSION A FIN DE BIEN RESSORTIR LA PROBLEMATIQUE</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
<i>REFERENCES A CORRIGER , NE REPODANT PAS AUX RECOMMANDATIONS ESJ</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): IL FAUT BIEN QUE LES AUTEURS SOIENT SERIEUX EN LISANT BIEN LEUR TRAVAIL , LE CORRIGER AVANT DE LE SOUMETTRE , IL S'AGIT D'UN TRAVAIL SCIENTIFIQUE

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: