# EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

## Paper: "Exploring Generalized Anxiety Disorder in Third-Year Medical Students: A Cross-Sectional Study Investigating Treatment-Seeking Attitudes"

1) YEARS

Submitted: 24 May 2023 Accepted: 24 July 2023 Published: 31 July 2023

Corresponding Author: Noman Mansoor

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p97

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ruth Keziah University of Cape Coast, Cape Coast, Ghana

Reviewer 2: Gordana Nikola Stankovska University of Tetova, Republic of Macedonia Reviewer D: Recommendation: Revisions Required

\_\_\_\_\_

### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and intuitive

### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents the issue, results and methods but lacks the research design and sampling techniques.

### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes.

### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods were not too clearly written in terms of sample, sampling, design and results presentations

### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body is quite clear and does contain some grammatical errors and inconsistent reference style.

### The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is more of a recommendation, hence should be rewritten.

### The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The intext and references lack appreciate format but are well supported by related literature.

### Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

# Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

# Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

# Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

## **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Thorough reading should be done to clear the few grammatical errors and revise the in-text references as well as the conclusion

\_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer G:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

\_\_\_\_\_

## The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is very clear and is in the content with the purpose of the article.

## The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

This is the original research article which clearly describes research question and objectives of the study, recognition of limitations and emphasis of the study, introduces relevant conclusions and relevant literature.

### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The article is written in correct and well understanding English.

## The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study very good and clear analyses the level and rate of generalized anxiety among third-year medical students and what prevents them from seeking treatment.The authors used the questionnaire which consisted of 17 questions and they sent it online among all the 254 students studying in their third year in the college.

### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The article is completely clear and does not contain any errors.

## The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions are adequate to the content. They are clearly formulated.

## The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The bibliography is reach enough. The references are listed in alphabetic order.

## Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

# Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

# Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

# Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

# Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

# Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

## Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, no revision needed

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The reviewed article covers a very interesting, recent and also a specialized topic that is being frequently discussed by health professionals, especially by psychiatrists.

\_\_\_\_\_