

Paper: "Enquête Ethnobotanique sur les Usages de Euphorbia Heterophylla L. (Euphorbiaceae) dans le Nord de la Côte d'Ivoire"

Submitted: 31 May 2023 Accepted: 30 June 2023 Published: 31 July 2023

Corresponding Author: Kouame François

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p116

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Coulibaly Noupé Diakaria CNRA (Centre National de Recherche Agronomique), Programme Cultures Maraîchères et Protéagineuses, Côte d'Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Coulibaly Noupé Diakaria			
University/Country: CNRA / Côte d'Ivoir	re		
Date Manuscript Received: 2023/16/06	Date Review Report Submitted: 2023/22/06		
Manuscript Title: Enquête ethnobotanique sur les usages de <i>Euphorbia heterophylla</i> L. (Euphorbiaceae) dans le nord de la Côte d'Ivoire.			
heterophylla L. (Euphorbiaceae) dans le	e nord de la Côte d'Ivoire.		
heterophylla L. (Euphorbiaceae) dans le ESJ Manuscript Number:	e nord de la Côte d'Ivoire.		
ESJ Manuscript Number: You agree your name is revealed to the author of			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

` *	
Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I invite the authors to take into account all the corrections made

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: