Paper: **"Carcinome Papillaire Intra-Kystique du Sein : A Propos d'Un cas au Service de Gynécologie-Obstétrique du CHU Kara"**

Corresponding Author: Kossi Edem Logbo-Akey

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Florentin Nguena
Interne dans le service de chirurgie traumatologie orthopédie de centre hospitalier de la côte basque Bayonne (France)

Reviewer 2: Oumarou Youssouff
Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Communautaire de Bangui Service de Médecine Interne, Centrafrique

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: N'GUENA Ulrich	
University/Country: Bangui (Centrafrique)	
Date Manuscript Received:13/07/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	
(Please insert your comments) **OUI le titre parait pertinent et repond au problème pose.**	**4/5**
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	

(Please insert your comments) **OUI**	**5/5**
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	
(Please insert your comments) **Peu de faux de grammaire et d'orthographe** **4/5**	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
(Please insert your omments) **OUI les methodes cas clinique : sont respectées**	**3/5**
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
(Please insert your comments) **Un seul cas**	**5/5**
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. oui	**5/5**
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
(Please insert your comments) *Les references sont alignées*	*4/5*

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Prochainement il faut publier les series, et avoir également dans le manuscrit les images du sein de la patiente en plus des images de l'imagerie.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

C'est toujours bien d'avoir nos avis, on peut accepter et publier ce manuscript.

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: YOUSSOUF Oumarou	
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 18 juillet 2023
Manuscript Title: **Carcinome papillaire intra-kystique du sein : A Propos d'un cas au service de gynécologie-obstétrique du CHU Kara**	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**3**

(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**5**
(Please insert your comments)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Travail assez serieux.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: