

Paper: “Apport des Données d’Observation de la Terre Dans l’Evaluation du Potentiel Forester de la Reserve Narutelle Mabi- Yaya au Sud-est de la Cote d’Ivoire”

Submitted: 08 April 2023

Accepted: 25 July 2023

Published: 31 July 2023

Corresponding Author: Ouédraogo Boubacar

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n21p210

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Papy Bonkena

University of Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo

Reviewer 2: Koffi Alexandre Yao

Université Félix Houphouet Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Papy Bonkena	
University/Country: University of Kinshasa, Democratic Republic of Congo	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 16 July 2023
Manuscript Title: Contribution of earth observation data in the evaluation of the forest potential of the mabi-yaya nature reserve in the south-east of Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0456/2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

The title is clear	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
It is correct	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: YAO Koffi Alexandre	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët Boigny (Côte d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 19/07/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 24/07/202
Manuscript Title: APPORT DES DONNÉES D'OBSERVATION DE LA TERRE DANS L'ÉVALUATION DU POTENTIEL FORESTIER DE LA RÉSERVE NATURELLE MABI-YAYA AU SUD-EST DE LA CÔTE D'IVOIRE	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0456/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/ No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/ No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Titre adapté	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé est bien mené. Il présente les objectifs, les methods et les résultats.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le niveau de langue est soutenu. Cependant, on observe quelques fautes de grammaire, d'orthographe, de ponctuation, etc.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> les méthodes sont bien adaptées et bien expliquées	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Il n'y a aucune ambiguïté dans les resultats. Ils sont clairement présentés	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Tout est bien mené. Il y a une conformité entre le resumé et la conclusion et le contenu de l'article.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les références sont appropriées et bien citées avec pour la plupart des travaux récents.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):