

Paper: “Government Spending And Economic Growth Nexus In Sub-Saharan Africa: An Econometric Analysis”

Submitted: 02 June 2023

Accepted: 28 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Peter Arhenful

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n22p112](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n22p112)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yeboah Evans

School of Economics and Management, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, China

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and adequate for the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear and it presents the object, methods, and results. However, there are a few grammatical errors that need to be corrected.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are some grammatical errors that the authors need to check. There are no spelling mistakes.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are well explained. However, the authors could have summarised it to capture the attention of readers. The explanation of the methods is good.

Note: The authors have to cross-check the model equations because there are some things missing.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body is clear but there are some grammatical errors.

The authors have to adapt to one citation style. At some, they used the word "and" and the symbol "&" which need to be checked. The authors should avoid repeating one word in other paragraphs. In the literature review, some paragraphs are too long and need to be shortened.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is not fully adequate. The methods, objectives, and results must be summarised as part of the conclusion. Conversely, limitations and policy implications from the findings are also missing. If a separate chapter is not given for policy implications and limitations, they should be part of the conclusion.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The construction of sentences is very important for readers. The authors need to cross-check the grammar aspect of the paper. For example phrases like " due to the fact that" can be replaced with " because of " which makes the sentences simple and well organized. The paper has a lot of potentials; hence put in much effort to avoid hard-to-read texts.
