

Paper: **“Fear of Crime in Small Towns: The Case of Elazig in Turkey”**

Submitted: 23 June 2023

Accepted: 15 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Huseyin Cinoglu

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n23p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Namode Alice Dosso

Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly de Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer B:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title seems long and not very precise because it aims to bring out the essence of the content. I suggest:

Crime psychosis or crime in small towns: the case of Elazig in Turkiye.

In french: De la psychose du crime ou de la criminalité dans les petites agglomérations : cas de Elazig en Turquie.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents the aims, methods and results. The required elements are well presented and carried out. The substance of the work is revealed. The subject is very interesting.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The arguments are well developed and the work is free of syntax errors. The required level of academic expression is achieved.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Study methods are clearly explained. I was impressed by the quality of the methodology: variety of tests and statistical correlations. It is well detailed and clearly explained. The coherence between the research questions and the hypotheses formulated undoubtedly leads to a very relevant argument.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body does not contain errors. The body of work is satisfactory in more ways than one. The literature review is well divided into coherent, progressive sections. We learn a great deal. The link between crime psychosis, its inherent factors and people's quality of life and well-being is clearly established. The study asserts its relevance because new figures and data are recorded on the fear of crime in small towns; something that was lacking in the existing literature.

The results are relevant and supported by a multitude of references and cronbach's alpha. The results are innovative and the limitations are clear. This study demonstrates the enormous potential of criminal science and its impact on the well-being of populations and, by extension, the very development of cities.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is clear. The psychosis surrounding crime and criminality is linked to various factors that are investigated and tested before being refuted or confirmed. The overall perception of crime by certain sections of the population is identified and confronted. Numerous facts and figures emerge. This is clearly a breakthrough for the science of crime. The recommendations are precise and realistic, based on people's own experiences. It's well written, because it gives a good outline of the argument. The perspectives identified are interesting for future research into the subject.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The bibliography is very dense and rich, and also well put together. I enjoyed reviewing this fine scientific work as a criminologist.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Thank you for working with African crime scientists to get the figures for their small towns. And take this research to the global level (data from different cities to give a global picture of the perception of crime in the world).

Reviewer Q:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

None that I noticed.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The method is explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the context.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The research deals with a significant issue in crime studies.

Reviewer R:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes, but it needs revising some of the tables and statistical test results. some of the t values are incorrectly written which I believe they are typos.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

I would rather prefer that the authors wrote results and conclusions separately, we usually don't combine both under one title. It is not appropriate as it stands.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please check out your statistics, there are some typos.
Please also consider separating results from conclusions.
