

Paper: "The Evolution of European Fairy Tales: A Comparative Analysis of the Grimm Brothers and Hans Christian Andersen"

Submitted: 21 June 2023 Accepted: 15 August 2023 Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Abdulhadi O. Althobaiti

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n23p43

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Maurice Gning Berger University, Senegal

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

	_
Reviewer G:	
Recommendation: Accept Submission	

#### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of the paper "The Evolution of European Fairy Tales: A Comparative Analysis of the Grimm Brothers and Hans Christian Andersen" is pertinent to the content. The author develops the concepts hinted in the title and the reader's expectations are fulfilled.

### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is complete, fluent and well written. Objects, methods and results are illustrated with clarity in the abstract. Theoretical approaches are well introduced in the abstract and developed thoughout the article.

#### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Grammatical errors sometimes occur, but they do not impede the clarity of the article. The article is fluent and uses appropriate vocabulary. Grammatical structures are generally correct.

#### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods and the theoretical approaches are well introduced in the article and then employed to analyse the fairy tales chosen by the author. The correct and convincing theoretical approach allows the author to draw an engaging comparison between the Grimm Brothers and Hans Christian Andersen

## The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The article is generally well written and fluent. Errors are occasional and do not impede the clarity of the content. The author uses appropriate vocabulary and correct grammatical structures.

## The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is convincing and presents new horizons in the tradition of fairy tales. The author underlines the Grimm Brothers' and Hans Christian Andersen' important contribution to the writing of fairy tales and suggests new perspectives of analysis.

## The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is good and contains interresting sources. However, the list could be extended and completed with more sources.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
```

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, minor revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
comments and suggestions to the Hathor (8).
<del></del>
Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Accept Submission
The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.
The Title is quite clear
The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.
The abstract is comprehensive as it includes all necessary parts specifically the theoretical backgroud, the methodology and the results.
There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.
The candidate has a good command of French. The text is globally well written
The study METHODS are explained clearly.
quite satisfactory
The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.
yes, indeed
The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.
the conclusions are clear enough. They offer a good view of the mains points of the body of the work

# The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. quite good Please rate the TITLE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Overall Recommendation!!!
Accepted, no revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.