EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Paper: "Cancer de la Prostate Révélé par un Myélogramme Pathologique: A Propos d'Un Cas"

Submitted: 26 August 2021 Accepted: 01 August 2023 Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Anne Stredy Mkoh Dikao

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n24p46

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Muhindo Valimungighe Moise Université d'Abomey Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer M: Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

CANCER DE LA PROSTATE REVELE PAR UN MYELOGRAMME PATHOLOGIQUE PRISE EN CHARGE AU CNHU HKM COTONOU

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

YES IS CLEAR

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

GOOD GRAMMATICAL WORDS

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Bonne methodologie pour un cas clinique

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Les petites erreurs sont à corriger

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion est educative

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Il faut relire les consignes de la redaction des references

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Les auteurs devront preciser les affiliations clairement en tenant compte des recommandations du journal

Reviewer Y: Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

no comments

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

no comments

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

nothing

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

nothing

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

All are ok

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

no comments

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
