

Paper: “Diversité Floristique, Croissance et Qualité du Bois de *Cedrela odorata* L. (Meliaceae) et *Gmelina arborea* Roxb (Verbenaceae) dans Différents Systèmes de Reboisement dans la Forêt Classée de la Besso (Sud-Est de la Côte d’Ivoire)”

Submitted: 24 July 2023

Accepted: 17 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Ouattara Noufou Doudjo

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n24p69](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n24p69)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Ismael Batcho
UNSTIM-Abomey, Bénin

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ismaël BATCHO	
University/Country: Université Nationale des Sciences, Technologies, Ingénierie et Mathématiques UNSTIM-Abomey/Bénin	
Date Manuscript Received: 03 Août 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 10 Août 2023
Manuscript Title: Diversité floristique, croissance et qualité du bois de <i>Cedrela odorata</i> L. (Meliaceae) et <i>Gmelina arborea</i> Roxb. (Verbenaceae) dans différents systèmes de reboisement de la forêt classée de la Besso (Sud-Est Côte d'Ivoire)	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---01.---11.08.2023---	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(L'expression « diversité floristique » ne me paraît pas très appropriée dans la formulation du titre)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(La méthodologie n'a pas été bien présentée à ce niveau ; idem pour les résultats)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Il y a moins d'erreurs dans la rédaction)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(La méthodologie est assez claire)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Faites l'effort d'améliorer la rédaction

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: