

Paper: “Perception et Prédition future des Changements Climatiques par les Agriculteurs de Materi et Leurs Impacts sur la Production Agricole”

Submitted: 29 March 2023

Accepted: 18 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Alain Oloni Agani

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n24p111

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Jean-Christophe S.D. Toudonou
Water Nexus Energy and Food Security and Nutrition, Benin

Reviewer 2: Tiendrebeogo Nebnoma Romaric
Université Nazi Boni, Burkina Faso

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer F:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

No comments

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Il va falloir revoir le résumé pour permettre à ce que nous ayons clairement les éléments bien présenté , la méthode n'était pas claire.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Il y a des fautes de grammaire dans le corps du texte

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthode n'a pas été bien expliqué, il n'y a pas la présentation des tests statistiques pour expliquer les tendances climatiques et l'Analyse factorielle des perceptions.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

la structuration du corps du texte il faut bien revoir cela suivant le format indiqué

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

L'évaluation actuelle des perceptions devra prendre en compte une comparaison de la littérature sur les perceptions et outils développés depuis en terme de réponses et la résilience des systèmes agricoles

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

les références sont appropriés, mais il y a des documents encore plus actuel que vous pouvez utiliser

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Veuillez revoir les Graphes et la méthode du choix des ménages

Reviewer L:

Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is adequate for the content of the article. Authors have to complete the title in french.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes, but need to improve. The authors need to add the abstract translation in French.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are many grammatical errors and spellings mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Need somes details.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The results need to review and discussion.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Need to improve

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Need to review.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This article need to improve. I suggest that the authors do a complete proofreading of their article in order to improve his skill and also correct some serious errors, for example, the citation of the authors in the results section. The document represents you in one way or another.

Reviewer M:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre de l'article est à revoir, une proposition a été faite dans le docuemnts

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé est à revoir en fonction des corrections apportées dans le documents.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Quelques fautes de grammaire et d'orthographe. Voir mes corrections

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthodologie n'est pas bien expliquée. Voir mes suggestions

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Quelques erreurs mineurs. voir mes corrections dans le document

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

La conclusion est à reprendre.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Mauvaise citation pour certains auteurs dans le texte et références incomplètes par moment.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer N:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Titre acceptable.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Oui, cependant il devrait être chiffré.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Oui.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Ces méthodes sont bien expliquées.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Quelques erreurs. Elles doivent être corrigées pour une meilleure appréhension du contenu.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Ces parties sont acceptables.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Les références sont complètes. Cependant, elles doivent être actualisées. Quelques études ont été proposées aux auteurs.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Actualiser les références. Prière de peaufiner votre discussion avec les travaux proposés. Adopter un style de rédaction du début à la fin de votre article. Les mises en formes générales sont applicables pour le présent papier. La version avec suivi de modification vous sera envoyée.