

Paper: “Petrographic and Geochemical Characteristics of the Djabatoure Massif Metamagmatites from the Pan-African Orogen in Central Togo, West Africa”

Submitted: 21 July 2023

Accepted: 28 August 2023

Published: 31 August 2023

Corresponding Author: Sarakawa Abalo Malibida Kpanzou

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n24p198](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n24p198)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sani Abdoulwahid
Abdou Moumouni University, Niger

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain much errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. references must be updated. use recent references, especially in the discussion section.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

the references must be updated. use recent references, especially in the discussion section. the document provides new geological and geochemical data for a better understanding of togo's geology. for better visibility of your results, try to make comparisons with recent work.

Reviewer K:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The Title maybe it is a little longer but when you read the abstract it is everything connected. But I think that should remain the same.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract presents objectives, methodologies, and results in a good way concisely and clearly for the audience.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors but when it is ready to publish your editor can check them.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The author has done a good job with this article. They have a study method that is clearly explained and can be useful for another study with the same topic.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

This paper has a study with a clear objective methodology and concrete results. This paper does not contain errors. In the field of geosciences it's important using a rich technical vocabulary for this reason this article will be evaluated by all researchers.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

In this paper, the conclusion is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate. They are used in text in an accurate way.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
