

**Paper: “VIH/sida, Dévoilement du Statut Sérologique et Résilience au Traitement Antirétroviral chez les Adolescents d’Adzopé”**

**Submitted: 08 August 2023**

**Accepted: 28 September 2023**

**Published: 30 September 2023**

Corresponding Author: Wadja Jean Bilé

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n25p67](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n25p67)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ignace Adou Ayekoe  
Institut National d’Hygiène Publique (INHP), Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Hortense Koffi  
Université PELEFORO GON COULIBALY, Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Tchimou Bernard Mamba  
Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny, Cote d’Ivoire

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: AYEKOE ADOU IGNACE	
University/Country: Institut National d'Hygiène Publique (INHP)	
Date Manuscript Received: 21 août 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 24 août 2023
Manuscript Title: VIH/sida, dévoilement du statut sérologique et résilience au traitement antirétroviral chez adolescents d'Adzopé.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 0835/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Le titre ne correspond pas la tranche d'âge</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Quelques petites fautes d'orthographe</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2</b>
Revoir la méthode et le matériel d'étude ( commentaire est fait dans le texte)	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
Vous devrez présentés les résultats sur les éléments spécifiques caractérisant les sujets étudiés par exemple: l'âge, le sexe, le niveau d'instruction, le lien du parent avec l'enfant, l'âge qu'avait l'enfant au moment du dévoilement du statut, Qui lui a donné l'information? Sa mère est elle vivante? Etc.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2</b>
A revoir selon les résultats complétés	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
Revoir les références selon la norme APA	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le dévoilement du statut du VIH à l'enfant préoccupe tous les acteurs du VIH. Le sujet est toujours d'actualité.

Je vous propose de lire davantage sur le sujet et nous produire des résultats complets sur cette étude.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Le sujet traité est d'actualité. Je pense que les auteurs de l'article peuvent à travers les résultats de leur étude des voies d'amélioration de la santé des enfants victimes de cette infection à VIH.

L'article peut être retourné aux auteurs pour correction et soumission

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: MAMBA Tchimou Bernard	Email:
University/Country : Université Peleforo GON COULIBALY/ COTE D'IVOIRE	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: HIV/AIDS, disclosure of HIV status and resilience to antiretroviral therapy in adolescents with Adzopé	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0835/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The author must consider all the comments to perfect his article. He must also review the formatting of the document, and the spelling and grammar typos.

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: DIARRA Yah Malick	Email:
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny	
Date Manuscript Received: 21/08/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 29/08/2023
Manuscript Title: VIH/sida, dévoilement du statut sérologique et résilience au traitement antirétroviral chez adolescents d'Adzopé.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0835/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	5

<i>The title conforms to the content of the text</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(The summary clearly presents the purpose of the study, the data collection methods, and the results)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The author will have to reread the text to correct spelling errors</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Some clarifications must be made at the level of the methods mobilized by the author. or even comments in the text)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Les results are clearly presented in the results section)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(There is a coherence between the conclusion and the text)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(The author should review his bibliographical references. The names of some authors are sometimes written in upper case, sometimes in lower case. It will be necessary to harmonize the writing of the authors' names. In addition, he will have to apply the publisher's standard for the presentation of bibliographical references.)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The author must consider the comments to perfect his article.