

Paper: “Charles Wright Mills' Concept of "The Power Elite" Triangle According to the Georgian Model of 1990-2020”

Submitted: 15 February 2023

Accepted: 22 September 2023

Published: 30 September 2023

Corresponding Author: Archil Gamzardia

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n26p52](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n26p52)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Farid Samir Benavides Vanegas
Catholic University of Colombia, Colombia

Reviewer 2: Edna Johnny
University of Liberia

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Farid Samir Benavides Vanegas	
University/Country: Universidad Católica de Colombia	
Date Manuscript Received: August 3 rd 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: August 15 th 2023
Manuscript Title: Charles Wright Mill's concept of The Power Elite triangle. According to the Georgian Model of 1990 - 2020	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>Yes, the title reflects what the paper is about</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>It does show the content of the paper and the methods and results.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>I did not catch any.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>It is a narrative paper, so it does not use complex methodological analysis .</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>I assume that the presentation is correct, given that I do not know anything about Georgia's politics.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Yes, it does</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>It has few references and few empirical elements that allow us to determine if the situation in Georgia is as described in the paper.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

There should be some more empirical evidence, just to see if the analysis is correct.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	
University/Country: University of Liberia	
Date Manuscript Received: August 3, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: August 16, 2023
Manuscript Title:	Charles Wright Mills' concept of "The Power Elite" triangle According to the Georgian model of 1990-2020
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0253/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<i>The paper seeks to</i>	
The paper's title is clear and adequate as its content presents the Mills Model through a narrative of the empirical evidence that contrast with a theoretical construct of the power elite using Georgia as a case study.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The abstract section is clearly written, however, questions asked and answered within the research are not explicitly stated in the abstract.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Grammatical errors and spellings are minimal, however, most of the sentences are too long which makes it difficult to follow and comprehend.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The study uses narratives as the unity of analysis to present the sequence of events based on past and current situations of power relations in Georgia. The paper decomposes the complex Power Elite theory into various elements of power and discusses each unit in relation to empirical evidence bringing out internal contradiction of the theory as it relates to Georgia.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Although the paper's research questions where not presented at the beginning of the narrative, the research questions were however answered within the context of the analysis.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The conclusions and summary reached by the paper were shaped through and by the synthesis of discussions of events that are believed to have occurred or lived and experienced by individuals in Georgia	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>The references are comprehensive and appropriate</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
------------------------------	--

Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: