

**Paper: “La Réalisation d’Un Modèle Numérique du Terrain pour l’Etude de la Dynamique de l’Erosion Hydrique dans une Section Fluviale en Amont du Barrage de Bin El Ouidane (2016-2017)-(Haut Atlas/ Maroc)”**

**Submitted: 01 September 2022**

**Accepted: 29 September 2023**

**Published: 30 September 2023**

Corresponding Author: Hasan Ouakhir

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n27p357](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n27p357)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Khafou Mohamed  
Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Mel Mélédje Melaine  
Université Félix Houphouët Boigny d’Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

-----  
Reviewer A:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes but avoid the abbreviation in the title.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

the abstract needs the reformulation, the results and avoid the paragraphe related to the introduction.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

few gramatical errors.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

it contain the errors.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

it needs to the results.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

a lot of reference has not cited in the texte. Mandatory to adapt the references list.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Review your articles and take into consideration the notifications on the word document.

-----  
-----  
Reviewer F:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

in my point of view the title is covered the whole manuscript so is acceptable

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

in general the abstract extended over these elements, if is it possible please add some sentences of results in the end of abstract.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

in terms of grammer please could you revise the last section of discussion (VI-Discussion et interprétation ) there are a few errors of grammer (NB: les accord du verbe avoir et être dans certaines phrases), the rest of article is writing good.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The methodologie is clear just some comments, please include the precision of DEM (MNT) and the total area of the studied section (Troncon)

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

the body is so clear and correct

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion also is accurate, juste could you add a sentence about the limit of the used methodology in studying the soil erosion phenomenon.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

yes the list of bibliography is clear and appropriate

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----

-----

Reviewer X:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Le titre est en adéquation avec le contenu de l'article. Cependant, je le trouve un peu long et la période trop proche.

Juste une appréciation

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Le résumé respecte la structure générale. Les résultats sont identifiés, la méthodologie est expliquée et des objectifs sont fixés.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Sur la question des fautes et autres erreurs, le texte est de qualité.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Oui, les méthodes d'analyses sont clairement expliquées.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

A part des soucis de mise en forme, lesquels ont été identifiés et suggérés aux auteurs, la structure générale reste correcte.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

La conclusion pour moi pose un léger souci. Il se contente de retracer les grandes lignes ou résultats de l'étude.

Cette manière de procéder induit une forme de réédite.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Un autre aspect à revoir est la liste des références. Sur ce point le ligne éditoriale du journal aura à trancher.

Seul l'initiale des prénoms est mentionné. Tantôt des informations sur la maison d'édition manques...

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

C'est ma contribution pour l'amélioration du document proposé. Le sujet est d'intérêt, mais la période d'étude est trop proche à mon sens. Peut être que c'est un souci d'école, mais le point relatif à la citation des auteurs présente des failles. Il est judicieux de mentionner l'initial du prénom puis dans la référence, le noter en entier. Les illustrations manquent de source.

-----