EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL 🐹 ESI

Paper: "Advantage of Backwardness: The Effect of Industrialisation on European Modern Design Movement"

YEARS

Submitted: 22 May 2023 Accepted: 23 August 2023 Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Kouakou Koffi Justin

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n29p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Talahatou Tabou University of Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:		
University/Country: Bénin		
Date Manuscript Received: 01/06/23	Date Review Report Submitted: 01/06/23	
Manuscript Title: LES MUTUELLES D'ASSISTANCE FUNÉRAIRE DANS LES PERSPECTIVES SOCIOÉCONOMIQUES À BOUAKÉ (CÔTE D'IVOIRE)		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0606/23		
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No		
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No		
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: \mathbf{Yes} /No		

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Oracitan	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	1

(Please insert your comments) Je propose un titre à l'article DYNAMIQUE SOCIOECONOMQUE DES MUTUELLES D'ASSISTANCE FUNERAIRE DANS LA VILLE DE BOUAKE (COTE D'IVOIRE)

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
Revoir l'échanillonnage	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
A REVOIR	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 2023-06-01	Date Review Report Submitted: 2023-06-03	
Manuscript Title: LES MUTUELLES D'ASSISTANCE FUNÉRAIRE DANS LES PERSPECTIVES SOCIOÉCONOMIQUES À BOUAKÉ (CÔTE D'IVOIRE)		
ESJ Manuscript Number: nothing		
You agree your name is revealed to the author o	f the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: No		
You approve, this review report is available in the	ne "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
Titre en adéquations avec le contenu du document	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4	
Résumé acceptable Toutefois, la méthodologie doit être renforcée		
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5	
Texte conforme aux règles grammaticales		
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4	
Méthodologie et conforme à l'étude. Cependant, les auteurs devront indiquer leur technique d'échantillonnage		
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3	
Résultats bien menés dans l'ensemble. Cependant, la section discussion doit-être renforcée par la convocation de plusieurs auteurs ayant travaillé sur la thématique		
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4	
Conclusion acceptable. Toutefois, les auteurs gagneraient à retirer des phrases sans grande importance dans ce texte.		
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2	
Référence bibliographique conforme au texte mais insuffisante et très mal élaborée		

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Thématique de recherche très intéressante et d'actualité compte tenu de ces effets sur la société ivoirienne.

Les auteurs sont priés d'améliorer la méthodologie en y intégrant les techniques d'échantillonnage mais surtout en reprenant la discussion car les auteurs convoqués sont insuffisants.

Les auteurs sont également priés de reprendre toutes la section bibliographie selon les normes minimales d'élaboration d'une bibliographie scientique.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: