

**Paper: “Aspects Cliniques et Indications Chirurgicales des Fractures Diaphysaires des os de l’Avant-Bras chez l’Adulte au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara”**

**Submitted: 23 July 2023**

**Accepted: 06 August 2023**

**Published: 31 October 2023**

Corresponding Author: Towoezim Antoine

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p109

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Karima Elmouhdi  
Université Mohamed V. Maroc

Reviewer 2: Feigoudozoui Hermann Victoire  
Félix Houphouët-Boigny University, Abidjan, Ivory Coast

Reviewer 3: Segbedji Rene  
Université de Kara, Togo

Reviewer 4: Eshohana Padaro  
Université de Lomé, Togo

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Prof. Dr. El-Mouhdi Karima	
University/Country: Morocco	
Date Manuscript Received: <b>August 31, 2023</b>	Date Review Report Submitted: <b>September 05, 2023</b>
Manuscript Title: Les fractures diaphysaires des os de l'avant-bras chez l'adulte: aspects lésionnels et indications chirurgicales au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0808/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes/No</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	---

<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Please correct the title as follows:</i>	
<b>« Aspects cliniques et indications chirurgicales des fractures diaphysaires des os de l'avant-bras chez l'adulte au niveau Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara »</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>A few spelling mistakes have been corrected within the document.</b>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Criticisms for improvement have been indicated within the manuscript.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>Criticisms for improvement have been indicated within the manuscript.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>Yes</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>Criticisms for improvement have been indicated within the manuscript.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

I would like to thank the authors for their choice of theme, which is a relevant subject and a health problem in many countries around the world that is directly linked to accidents in the public voice. But, unfortunately, the authors do not give enough importance to the scientific and literary presentation of this public drama. I urge the authors to make a greater effort on this point, which will consequently be a strong point in attracting more readers.

From a scientific and academic point of view, the aim of the study should be clearly stated: It is a descriptive study of the epidemiological situation of cases of diaphyseal fractures of the forearm bones in adults at the Kara University Hospital. I invite the authors to add this objective in the abstract and at the end of the introduction.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 09/09/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 10/09/2023
Manuscript Title: <b>Les fractures diaphysaires des os de l'avant-bras chez l'adulte: aspects lésionnels et indications chirurgicales au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara.</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---24.---08.08.2023 (1)--- (2)	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <b>No</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <b>Yes</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): none**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: PADARO Essohana	
University/Country: Lomé / Togo	
Date Manuscript Received: 1 <sup>st</sup> September 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 27th September 2023
Manuscript Title: Les fractures diaphysaires des os de l'avant-bras chez l'adulte: aspects lésionnels et indications chirurgicales au Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Kara	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0808/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No : <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No: <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No: <b>Yes</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**