

**Paper: “Dynamique du Littoral de Vridi-Port-Bouët (Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire) :
Caractérisation Géomorphologique et Sédimentologique”**

Submitted: 16 August 2023

Accepted: 17 October 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Mamadou Toure

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p200](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p200)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Timothée Miyouna

Université Marien NGOUABI, République du Congo

Reviewer 2: Brahima Kone

Université Felix Houphouët-Boigny, Cote d’Ivoire

Reviewer D:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear but has no indication of the purpose of the study.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract must be rewritten and should meet the objectives and purpose of the study.

The English version must be corrected by a native English speaker.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are many mistakes in the manuscript that need to be corrected.

Also, French language must be particularly enhanced to facilitate reading.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The author must rewrite the body of the manuscript. There are some unclear sentences need to be corrected. The author must enhance the language of the manuscript.

The number of samples used for grain-size analysis (4 samples) is not sufficient for a scientific study.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Conclusion as well as the abstract must be rewrite and meet the objectives and the purpose of the study.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are poor. The author should add new references, incorporate them into the text

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

See in the Manuscript

Reviewer V:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the title reflects the content of the document.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

the summary is acceptable on the whole, but the content needs a bit more work.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Faults are minimised, but they do exist.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology used is not very precise and I find it a little dated compared with recent studies in the area.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The document contains fewer errors, but the figures are a long way from the analyses in the results section.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

the conclusion fails to highlight the methodological approaches and salient findings of the document - this section needs to be revised.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Most of the authors are cited, but it would be useful to update the list.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The subject is very interesting in view of the growing demography in this area of Abidjan. I suggest that the author read up a little more on recent work in the area.
