

Paper: “Dynamique Spatio-Temporelle et Intérêt Pour la Conservation de la Forêt Classée de Goin-Débé, Une Aire Protégée Menacée de Disparition à l’Ouest de la Côte d’Ivoire”

Submitted: 08 August 2023

Accepted: 17 October 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Kougbo Ménéké Distel

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p231

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yao Jean-Clovis Kouadio
Félix Houphouët-Boigny University, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Adéréwa Amontcha
Université d’Abomey-Calavi, Benin

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: KOUADIO Yao Jean-Clovis	
University/Country: Félix Houphouët-Boigny University	
Date Manuscript Received: 30/08/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/09/2023
Manuscript Title: Dynamique spatio-temporelle et intérêt pour la conservation de la forêt classée de Goin-Débé, une aire protégée menacée de disparition à l'Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0833/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: AMONTCHA Adéréwa	
University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi	
Date Manuscript Received: 30/08/20	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/09/2023
Manuscript Title: Dynamique spatio-temporelle et intérêt pour la conservation de la forêt classée de Goin-Débé, une aire protégée menacée de disparition à l'Ouest de la Côte d'Ivoire	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---32.---33.08.2023 (1)---	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Aucun des résultats présentés n'évoque la conservation ou non de la flore, qui est pourtant un aspect important du sujet traité	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
On n'y perçoit pas, si la diversité floristique de la FC est conservée malgré les actions anthropiques, ou si certaines essences qui y résidaient il y a quelques années n'y sont plus aujourd'hui	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: