

**Paper: “Asphyxie Périnatale à la Maternité du CHU Kara : Aspects  
Epidémiologique, Clinique et Pronostique”**

**Submitted: 26 July 2023**

**Accepted: 25 October 2023**

**Published: 31 October 2023**

Corresponding Author: Kossi Edem Logbo-Akey

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p323](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n30p323)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Youssouff Oumarou

Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Communautaire de Bangui Service de Médecine  
Interne

Reviewer 2: Bio Tamou Sambo

University of Parakou, Benin

-----  
Reviewer T:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Le titre est claire et bien adapté

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

revoir certains chiffres pour harmoniser avec ceux des résultats

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

les corrections sont dans les commentaires

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

bonne méthodologie

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

les corrections sont dans les commentaires

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

acceptable

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

volume et numéro pour la référence 3

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: YOUSSOUF Oumarou	
University/Country: Republique centrafricaine	
Date Manuscript Received: 25/08/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/08/2023
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2

<i>Le contenu ne correspond pas au pronostic qui devrait être précise dans le resultat</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le travail peut être publiée si l'auteur tient compte des suggestion et contribution que nous avons fait

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**