

Paper: “L’Autonomisation Economique des Femmes dans un Contexte d’Innovation Sociale”

Submitted: 07 January 2022

Accepted: 26 October 2023

Published: 31 October 2023

Corresponding Author: Ennamer Naima

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n28p207](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n28p207)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Slimane Ed-Dafali
Chouaib Doukkali University, Morocco

Reviewer 2 Milenge Miller
Universite de Goma, Congo

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: ED-DAFALI Slimane	
University/Country: Chouaib Doukkali University (Morocco)	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: L'AUTONOMISATION ÉCONOMIQUE DES FEMMES DANS UN CONTEXTE D'INNOVATION SOCIALE : REVUE DE LITTÉRATURE ET CADRE CONCEPTUEL	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0146/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- Puisque le travail est nature théorique, les auteurs sont invités d'indiquer dans le résumé les principaux renseignements titrés des travaux antérieurs. Il est également souhaitable d'indiquer une ou deux contribution.
- Les auteurs auraient intérêt à mettre suffisamment en évidence l'originalité de leur contribution par rapport aux études antérieures dans l'introduction plutôt que dans le « contexte de la recherche et des buts poursuivis », même si elles paraissent « restreintes ».
- Nous recommandons aux auteurs de remplacer « axe » par « section ». Par exemple, «Dans le présent axe, nous allons essayer d'analyser.....(page 4)» deviendra « Dans la présente section » .
- Nous invitons les auteurs de vérifier à nouveau les erreurs de saisie. Par exemple dans tableau 1, il faut remplacer “**Theme**” par “**Thème**”.
- Les contributions doivent être mieux justifiées en conclusion.

- Au niveau de l'introduction et la partie méthodologique (non indiquée dans l'article), il conviendrait de justifier la méthode choisie. En quoi est-elle adaptée à votre problématique (à formuler de façon explicite) ?
- Nous invitons les auteurs à citer plus de travaux antérieurs effectués dans le contexte marocain sur le sujet traité. Nous recommandons à titre indicatif les travaux ci-après :
- Ed-Dafali, S., Rhabra, S., & Elouatik, E. (2015). Analyse de la contribution des coopératives dans le développement socio-économique: Cas de la région de Taroudant. *Dossiers de Recherches en Economie et Gestion*, 4(1),111-128. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.34874/IMIST.PRSM/doreg-v4i1.15176>
- Abdelkhalik, H., El Gazzar, A., & Hasnaoui, R. (2021). Effets de la coopération sur le développement de la situation de la femme rurale. *International Journal of Accounting, Finance, Auditing, Management and Economics*, 2(1), 287-300.
- Les contributions doivent être mieux justifiées en conclusion.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021?

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr MILENGE WIMBA Miller	
University/Country: UNIVERSITE DE GOMA	
Date Manuscript Received: 26/2/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 3/3/2022
Manuscript Title: L'AUTONOMISATION ÉCONOMIQUE DES FEMMES DANS UN CONTEXTE D'INNOVATION SOCIALE : REVUE DE LITTÉRATURE ET CADRE CONCEPTUEL	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The title is very clear and is very adequate to the content	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The abstract very clearly and presents the objects very well	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The text did not contain grammatical errors and spelling mistakes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
The methods are explained clearly in this article	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
There are no errors	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Yes the summary are accurate and support the content	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>Good presentation</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Congratulations the article is very clear, the literature review is very good

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: The article can be published