

Paper: "Examining Travel Habits During the Fourth and Fifth Waves Of The COVID-19 Pandemic"

Submitted: 03 October 2023 Accepted: 06 November 2023 Published: 30 November 2023

Corresponding Author: Csilla Rimóczi

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n31p41

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Folorunso Olusola Kwara State University, Nigeria

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

#### The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Title is good, I will suggest that the title should be broaden a little to accommodate the study area.

#### The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The Abstract is well articulated. However, methods should come after the study aim(s) and objectives as applicable.

#### There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, very few. Through proof reading is recommended. Kindly refer to comments made on the Manuscript.

#### The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Study Method is comprehensive and straight forward.

#### The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The major corrections are about the physical structure of the work in the aspect of paragraph, font style, and line spacing. The analysis part looks disjointed from the rest of the work in terms of formatting, it's as if it was lifted into the body of work. Content structure wise, the references in the literature area bit old. So I recommend 70% of the entire references of the work to be between 7 years and the time of the research. I think the data analysis is robust, I hope it has not been over stretched with too many data analysis tools. In depth, the authors should avoid italicizing data interpretations.

#### The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is in tandem with the body of the work.

# The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

I will recommend Editor's guide to the authors. In-text reference is good, but most are old and may not amount to 70% of the total reference that should be from 7 years prior to the time of the research.

## Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

```
3
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
Please rate the METHODS of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
3
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
4
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

#### **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

#### **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Kindly rework the physical structure of the work to ensure proper line spacing, paragraphing, and font style. Change italicized sentences in the data interpretation to normal font. Check your table and figure labels to ensure uniformity. Be consistent with your in-text referencing and try to update your references as you should work towards making sure that 70% of your entire references are from 7 years to the time of your research. Check your tables, replaces comma with period in your numbers expression. For example, 4.68 instead of 4,68. Please do this for all your data tables. When referring to your tables and figures, ensure that the Table and Figures are written in Bold Letters with the number attached to them.

Reviewer I:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear, adequate and explicit the content of the paper.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is clear, concise and illustrator of the topic to be explored.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Several grammatical errors can be mentioned.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Details about the methods are interesting to enrich the methodology used and for the understanding of the results of the research

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is fluid and clear.

# The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is clear and very interesting.

## The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

References are categorized very well and are appropriate it just needs to be done in APA style fully (internet source needs e.g. Retrieved at.. etc. I advise to see the template of the journal.

References should be in APA format. Alphabetize references by first author's last name. Number all references. Single space all references.

### for example:

- 1. Austin, J. H. (1998). Zen and the brain: Toward an understanding of meditation and consciousness. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- 2 . IJCME (n.d.). Roles and Responsibilities of Authors, Contributors, Reviewers, Editors, Publishers, and Owners. Retrieved at http://www.icmje.org/recommendations/browse/roles-and-responsibilities/

### Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

5

## Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

5

#### Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

## Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
Please rate the BODY of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
5
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.
Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.  [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
[Poor] <b>1-5</b> [Excellent] 5
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]  5  Overall Recommendation!!!
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]  5  Overall Recommendation!!!
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]  5  Overall Recommendation!!!  Accepted, minor revision needed
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]  Overall Recommendation!!!  Accepted, minor revision needed  Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):