

Paper: "Does Investment Climate Matter for Firm Performance? Evidence from Kenyan Manufacturing Firms"

Submitted: 15 August 2022 Accepted: 09 November 2023 Published: 30 November 2023

Corresponding Author: Cyprian Amutabi

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n31p79

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yeboah Evans

Mendel University in Brno, Czech Republic

Reviewer 2: Ndirangu Ngunjiri Watermark Consultants, Kenya Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is very good for research, though not clearly written, not coming out well

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Objectives need to be explained further, and methods and results well explained.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Spelling mistakes and grammatical errors needs correction

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study method well-explained

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The paper body is clear

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

well supported

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

citation well referenced

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 3 Please rate the METHODS of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the BODY of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper. [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent] 4 **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Accepted, minor revision needed

Review the paper for a few minor mistakes, grammar, objectives,
Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and adequate to the content of the research.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract clearly presents the objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors that need to be checked by the authors.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods have been explained properly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the article is clear but there are some suggestions for the authors:

- 1. I suggest that data 3.2 should come before methods 3.1
- 2. The model specification is based on which theory?
- 3. Some paragraphs are too short to stand alone in the introduction and literature review.
- 4. The economic interpretation of the statistically significant coefficients is missing.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content. However, the limitations of the study are missing from the conclusion. The purpose of the study, data range, and methods should be summarized as part of the conclusion.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

```
Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.
```

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

```
[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
```

Accepted, minor revision needed
Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Overall Recommendation!!!