

Paper: “Recent Issues and Problems in Bangladesh-India Relations: A Bangladeshi Perspective”

Submitted: 15 September 2023

Accepted: 09 November 2023

Published: 30 November 2023

Corresponding Author: Md. Ershadul Huq

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n32p9](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n32p9)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Eka Kvantaliani
IBSU, Georgia

Reviewer 2: Sharad Soni
Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Ekaterine Kvantaliani	
University/Country: IBSU/Georgia	
Date Manuscript Received: 20.09.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26.09.2023
Manuscript Title: Recent Issues and problems in Bangladesh-India Relations: A Bangladeshi Perspective	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(The title is corresponding to the content of the article)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>(The abstract describes the content of the article shortly, but does not present objects, methods the outcomes of the research)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(The grammatical errors are not much just few spelling)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	1
<i>(There is no explaining which research methodology and methods were applied in the article)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(The results of the article were not solid. As there are no research methods applied it was difficult to understand how the researcher found out the results)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(The conclusion is supported by the content as the researcher applied several outcomes which were mentioned in the content. E.g. case of China-Bangladesh relationship)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(References are comprehensive)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Sharad K Soni	
University/Country: Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi	
Date Manuscript Received: October 6, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: October 30, 2023
Manuscript Title: Recent Issues and Problems in Bangladesh-India Relations: A Bangladeshi Perspective	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 45.09.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>The Title is adequate to the content of the article.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>Although the abstract clearly presents objects, it lacks methods and results.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Yes, there are few gramaatical errors and spelling mistakes</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	1
<i>No study methods have been explained, it should be added as a separate section</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Although there is no subheading as results, the analyses are clear and point to results.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The conclusion is accurate and supported by the content</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>The references are appropriate but not comprehensive.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The manuscript demonstrates a good piece of research work but needs minor revision being suggested as follows: (1) The study methods and results of the research should clearly be mentioned in the abstract; (2) Since study methods are absent in the body-text it needs to be written/included as a separate section immediately after the Introduction; and (3) a few sources may be added to the references to make it comprehensive, especially the recent source material as the whole article focusses on recent issues and problems in Bangladesh-India relations. Articles written by Sanjay K Bhardwaj may be referred. A few references have been found in in-text but not in the list of references which may be rectified. Besides, the referencing style of ESJ must be followed.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

This manuscript is a good piece of research work which demonstrates seriousness on the part of the authors to deal with the subject. However, as suggested to the authors, the manuscript needs minor revision as follows: (1) The study methods and results of the research should clearly be mentioned in the abstract; (2) Since study methods are absent in the body-text it needs to be written/included as a separate section immediately after the Introduction; and (3) a few sources may be added to the references to make it comprehensive, especially the recent source material as the whole article focusses on recent issues and problems in Bangladesh-India relations. Articles written by Sanjay K Bhardwaj may be referred. A few references have been found in in-text but not in the list of references which may be rectified. Besides, the referencing style of ESJ must be followed. After the minor revision in the light of suggestions this article may be accepted for publication as it would be a good addition to existing literature on the subject which would be of interest to both the research scholars as well as policy makers.