

Paper: “Re-Visitation of “The Epic of Sunjata”: Using Virtual Reality (VR) as a Method of Instruction”

Submitted: 21 September 2022

Accepted: 10 November 2023

Published: 30 November 2023

Corresponding Author: Ladji Sacko

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n32p25](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n32p25)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Salwa Alinat
Open University, Israel

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	Email:
University/Country:	
Date Manuscript Received: 29/9/22	Date Review Report Submitted: 14/10/22
Manuscript Title: Re-Visitation of “The Epic of Sunjata”: Using Virtual Reality (VR) as a Method of Instruction	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear, and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

The title of the article provides relevant information about the article	
2. <i>The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.</i>	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The article's abstract is clear and contains information about the central claims of the article. Methodologically, there is a lack of detail.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> It is clear for me.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Yes. The methods are explained clearly.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> It is not enough.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The conclusions are general and not focused on the analysis of the case study</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Yes. The references <i>are updated</i> and comprehensive. <i>References about cultural studies should be added.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	X
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The topic of the article is vital for research and teaching foreign cultures. The rationale is clearly presented, as is the research method.

The studies highlighting the subject's importance are also mentioned and up to date. But the article in its current form presents a work plan rather than an in-depth discussion of the studied issue.

Therefore, the researcher needs to add explanations on the following topics:

A. Analyzing of the story "The Epic of Sunjata":

Plot; characters; values , and historical context in which the author chooses the version of the story.

B. Experimenting with classrooms of the research program. To make observations, ask students questions in an open discussion and perhaps through questionnaires. The researcher can choose three classes and see how much the program can help students.

C. Analyzing the classroom dynamics around the story.

D. Writing a dissection part of the article, including results, difficulties, and insights.

E. Adding a theory part about cultural sensitivity.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The conclusions are general and not focused on the analysis of the case study.

The article is concise.

It presents a research plan more than an article. The author should add parts to the paper to strengthen it.