

Paper: “Cyberbullying Experiences and Coping Strategies in Ibadan Metropolis, Ibadan, Nigeria”

Submitted: 16 May 2023

Accepted: 22 November 2023

Published: 30 November 2023

Corresponding Author: Ayodeji M. Kehinde

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n32p89](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n32p89)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Kira Trostina

Plekhanov University of Economics, Russia

Reviewer 2: Uchenna Kingsley

Xiamen University, Siming South Road, Fujian, China

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Kira Trostina	
University/Country: Plekhanov Russian University of Economics, Russia	
Date Manuscript Received: 02.11.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 08.11.2023
Manuscript Title: CYBERBULLYING EXPERIENCES AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG CELEBRITIES IN IBADAN METROPOLIS, IBADAN, NIGERIA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0550/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
the two words COPING STRATEGIES – distort the main idea of the article and mislead the reader as suggest there is something about counterfeiting which is not the case	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
yes	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
there is overuse of possessive case and some punctuation errors	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
very clearly	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
quite logical	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
yes	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

A slight modification of the title will better show the essence of the research

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

none

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: Nov. 2, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: Nov. 11, 2023
Manuscript Title: CYBERBULLYING EXPERIENCES AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG CELEBRITIES IN IBADAN METROPOLIS, IBADAN, NIGERIA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0550/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No. No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No. Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No. Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The author did a great job. However, editors should look consider the desirability of the author capturing the coping approaches in a way that shows the most preferred and the least applied approaches, this can be a form a chart. This may also have some bearing on the purpose of the study. Since the author acknowledged that there have are some literature on the subject, it is suggested that the editors advise the author to include a literature review.