Paper: **"The Impact of Providing Chatbot Content on Developing the English Communication Skills Among Al-Azhar Kindergarten Teachers"**

Corresponding Author: Ghada Mohamed Ahmed Tawfik

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Mohamed Gomaa
The National Egyptian E-Learning University (EELU), Egypt

Reviewer 2: Krzysztof Nesterowicz
Ludovika-University of Public Service, Hungary

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:	
University/Country: egypt	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 24/10/2023
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1045/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**
(Please insert your comments) **Yes (4)**	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**3**
(clear)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**3**
(a few errors)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**3**
(clear as general)	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**3**
Need to add more)	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	*
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Add more refrences
Must remove the part of **Acknowledgments from paper**

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ *Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023*

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Krzysztof Nesterowicz	
University/Country: Ludovika – University of Public Service/Hungary	
Date Manuscript Received: 24.10.2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 26.10.2023
Manuscript Title: The impact of providing Chatbot content on developing the English communication skills among Al-Azhar Kindergarten teachers	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	*Rating Result* [Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	**4**

The title looks clear to me.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	**4**
Yes.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	**3**
The are some spelling mistakes. I recommend language proof reading, e.g. the program Grammarly. Abbreviations like 'didn't', 'don't' should be replaced everywhere by 'did not', 'do not', etc.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	**3**
They are more or less clear. I would recommend to make description part a bit more consolidated, shorter for a reader. I would reduce some repetitions of information in the manuscript.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	**4**
They are clear to me.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	**4**
I think so.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	**4**
Yes, they are.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	**X**
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
Inserted in the form above.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:
None.