

Paper: “Contribution du Scanner à L’exploration des Pathologies Abdominales à Bangui”

Submitted: 25 September 2023

Accepted: 18 November 2023

Published: 30 November 2023

Corresponding Author: Oumarou Youssouf

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n33p191](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n33p191)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: October 13, 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: October 17, 2023
Manuscript Title: Profil socio démographique et recours au scanner abdominal dans les pathologies digestives à Bangui. / Socio-demographic profile and use of abdominal CT in digestive pathologies in Bangui.	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<p>Le titre n'est pas en accord avec l'objectif et le contenu de l'étude. Nous lui proposons :</p> <p>« Contribution du scanner à l'exploration des pathologies abdominales à Bangui »</p>	
<p>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>L'objectif général est clair, mais il faut 2 ou 3 les objectifs spécifiques qui permettront de comprendre la présentation des résultats et le développement de la discussion.</p> <p>Les méthodes et les résultats sont mal présentés.</p>	
<p>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</p>	<p>2</p>
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>Beaucoup de fautes grammaticales. La construction des phrases est approximative. La ponctuation est anarchique ne permettant pas de suivre le fil des idées.</p> <p>Trop de tableaux dans les résultats. La discussion est confuse et gagnerait en clarté si elle était segmentée par des sous-titres selon des objectifs spécifiques</p>	
<p>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>Les méthodes utilisées sont compréhensibles. Elles doivent être mieux présentées pour plus de clarté.</p>	
<p>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>Les résultats sont confus. Ils ne contiennent pas d'erreurs mais il y a trop de tableaux. Ils doivent être réduits et mieux présentés suivant des objectifs spécifiques.</p>	
<p>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</p>	<p>4</p>
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>La conclusion est claire et répond à l'objectif général affiché. Elle est supportée par les résultats.</p>	
<p>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</p>	<p>3</p>
<p><i>(Please insert your comments)</i></p> <p>Relire attentivement pour corriger les erreurs. Ecrire le noms des revues en entier.</p>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- **Revoir les recommandations aux auteurs du journal,**
- **Utiliser le “ESJ template” pour présenter le document,**
- **Revoir la rédaction et la présentation générale de l’article.**

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 13 octobre 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 19 octobre 2023
Manuscript Title: Profil socio démographique et recours au scanner abdominal dans les pathologies digestives à Bangui	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est à revoir. Nous suggérons à l'auteur : Apport du scanner abdominal dans le diagnostic des pathologies digestives à Bangui''	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Les fautes d'orthographe et de grammaire ont été corrigées	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Les variables doivent être précisées ainsi que l'outil de collecte des données	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
Il y avait trop de tableaux. Des précisions sont à apporter	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<i>Les références sont à reprendre selon les exigences de l'instruction aux auteurs</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Le sujet de recherche est intéressant. L'auteur doit s'efforcer à faire des phrases courtes pour faciliter la lecture et la compréhension. Beaucoup de tableaux peuvent être jumelés.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: