



## Unemployment and Digital Labor Platforms in Georgia

*Murtaz Kvirkvaia, PhD*

Kutaisi International University, Georgia

*Mogeli Shengelia*

Grigol Robakidze University, Georgia

Doi: [10.19044/esipreprint.12.2023.p222](https://doi.org/10.19044/esipreprint.12.2023.p222)

Approved: 02 December 2023

Posted: 06 December 2023

Copyright 2023 Author(s)

Under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

*Cite As:*

Kvirkvaia M. & Shengelia M.(2023). *Unemployment and Digital Labor Platforms in Georgia*. ESI Preprints. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esipreprint.12.2023.p222>

### Abstract

This article addresses the pressing issues of unemployment and employment in Georgia over the past three decades. With an average unemployment rate of around 20 percent, affecting approximately 300,000 people from 2010 to 2022, the labor force faces significant challenges. The advent of technological progress has highlighted the necessity for novel approaches to the labor market. In this context, digital work platforms have emerged as potential solutions, offering new forms of employment and creating opportunities driven by advancements in digital technologies. The aim of this study is to identify innovative employment opportunities in the context of the current unemployment situation in order to improve the Georgian labor market conjunction. The study draws upon interviews with labor market specialists and presents conclusions based on primary and secondary data. While the research indicates that digital work platforms do not currently play a major role in employment, their significance is projected to grow in the future. The extent of their impact on the population's employment prospects will depend on Georgia's technological development and educational level. These platforms demonstrate the potential to generate flexible jobs and provide supplementary income opportunities. However, challenges associated with their development must be addressed for their successful integration into the labor market.

**Keywords:** Unemployment; New forms of employment; Online work platform; Digital labor platform

## Introduction

Reducing unemployment and improving the labor market in Georgia requires continual efforts and innovative solutions. Despite the attempts of various governments to address the issue, the results have been insufficient. With persistently high levels of unemployment and ineffective employment rates, it is important to explore the potential of modern technologies in the labor market. Assessing digital work technologies, which differ significantly from traditional approaches, is critical to improving the labor market situation in Georgia (Kvirkvaia, 2022).

The paper presents the characteristics of new forms of employment based on the summarizing of research carried out in recent years. It provides a brief summary of reports from various international organizations that focus on digital technologies and specific work platforms.

## Research Methodology

The methodology used is based on primary and secondary research data. Official data from the National Statistics Service of Georgia, as well as information and data taken from the web pages of various companies, reports published by international authoritative organizations in recent years, scientific research papers, and published articles, were used in the research. The presented research uses the materials<sup>1</sup> of interviews with labor market specialists, which were carried out in an online format using a pre-developed questionnaire.

## Analysis of unemployment and employment situation in Georgia.

The analysis of official data published by the National Statistical Service of Georgia<sup>2</sup> provides some insight into the assessment of the unemployment and employment situation in Georgia. According to the current methodology of the labor market in 2010-2022, the highest unemployment rate was recorded in 2010 (27.2 percent) while the lowest was in 2022 at 17.3% (Table 1). Although there are some changes in the number of unemployed<sup>3</sup> people, on average, 300 thousand are unemployed,

---

<sup>1</sup> Interviews with labor market specialists were carried out within the framework of the project implemented by the European Training Foundation in Eastern European Partnership countries. See: Kvirkvaia, M. (2021). Platform work-Georgia. Country profile. [https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-06/platform\\_work\\_georgia\\_0.pdf](https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-06/platform_work_georgia_0.pdf). In European Training Foundation report (ETF): The future of work – New forms of employment in the Eastern Partnership countries: Platform work. [https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-07/future\\_of\\_work\\_platform\\_work\\_in\\_eap\\_countries.pdf](https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-07/future_of_work_platform_work_in_eap_countries.pdf).

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.geostat.ge>

<sup>3</sup> Unemployed – – A person aged 15 years and older, who during the reference period (7 days preceding the interview), was not employed and within the previous four weeks actively searched for work and in case of success was ready to start work within the next two weeks.

which is a significant concern that leads to various social problems, which need to be discussed separately.

In the labor market indicators of Georgia, the number of self-employed is quite high (about 400 thousand). Most likely, some of the self-employed do not represent the actual employees or, in the best case, belong to the ineffective employees. In general, the inefficiency of employment in Georgia is also reflected in the distribution of employees according to the types of economic activity<sup>4</sup>, according to which almost a fifth of the workers are employed in agriculture, where wages, labor productivity, and incomes are low.

### Labour Force Indicators

**Table #1** thousand persons

	2010	2012	2014	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Population</b>	3,799.8	3,739.3	3,716.9	3,726.4	3,729.6	3,723.5	3,716.9	3,728.6	3,688.6
<b>Labor force</b>	1603.8	1654.7	1629.0	1641.4	1605.2	1572.8	1523.7	1533.6	1551.6
<b>Employed</b>	1167.6	1212.2	1255.0	1286.9	1296.2	1295.9	1241.8	1217.4	1283.7
<b>Hired</b>	710.0	759.4	795.5	869.3	903.5	897.5	845.3	829.4	870.9
<b>Self-employed</b>	455.2	445.2	453.0	416.8	392.2	397.9	395.9	387.1	412.1
<b>Unemployed</b>	436.2	442.5	374.0	354.5	309.0	276.9	281.9	316.2	267.9
<b>Unemployment (%)</b>	27.2	26.7	23.0	21.6	19.2	17.6	18.5	20.6	17.3

**Source:** The table is compiled according to the data of the National Statistical Service of Georgia.

One of the indicators of inefficient employment can be considered the remuneration of employees. According to official data, a certain increase in the level of the average monthly nominal salary was recorded in the third quarter of 2022 and amounted to 1595.0 GEL. However, if we take into account the average exchange rate<sup>5</sup> of the Georgian Lari against the US dollar for the third quarter of 2022, the average monthly nominal salary level is less than 600 US dollars. There is a significant difference between the average monthly nominal wages according to the types of economic activity. For instance, in 2021, the average monthly nominal wage in the field of education was only 834.9 GEL, while the same figure in agriculture was 950.3 GEL. The Georgian labor market faces significant challenges,

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.geostat.ge/ka/modules/categories/683/dasakmeba-umushevroba>

<sup>5</sup> 2022(III) USD/GEL (Period average)= 2.8235

including inadequate cooperation between employers and higher education institutions (Kikutadze et al. 2021), the incorrect professional orientation of young people (Kvirkvaia et al. 2018), unemployment in regions (Kvirkvaia, 2016), and low-income levels of the population.

Based on the analysis of official statistical data, the unemployment rate of approximately (on average) 20 percent, the high number of self-employed people, and the general indicators of inefficient employment (Tsartsidze, 2018) indicate the severity of the problems in the labor market of Georgia. The mentioned problems are not new for Georgia and have been the subject of constant discussion for the past three decades. Therefore, in contrast to the previously used traditional approaches, it is necessary and urgent to find and study new approaches and forms of employment.

### **Digital work platforms**

The advancement of digital technology has greatly affected all aspects of public life. The changes have also been reflected in the sectors of the economy and labor markets, where adaptation to technological innovations has been taking place intensively during the last decade. International organizations, individual researchers, governmental and non-governmental organizations, and scientific institutions pay significant attention to digital work platforms in the modern labor market. In the scientific literature, digital work platforms are viewed as innovative employment solutions and opportunities for workers resulting from advancements in digital technology.

Ongoing changes in the modern labor market and important studies and reports on digital work platforms have been published in recent years by the following organizations: International Labour Organization, (2021); European Training Foundation, (2021); World Economic Forum, (2020); Eurofound, (2018); European Commission, (2021), etc. Also, the following researchers devoted fundamental scientific works to the mentioned issues: Pulignano, Grimshaw, Domecka and Vermeerbergen (Pulignano et al. 2023), Johnston, Ergun, Schor, Chen (Johnston et al. 2022); Vallas, Schor (Vallas et al. 2020); Howson, Ferrari, Salem, Johnston, Katta, Heeks, Graham (Howson et al. 2022); Farrell, Greig (Farrell et al. 2016); Choudar (Choudary 2016); Chernykh (Chernykh 2021); Mandl, Curtarelli, Riso, Vargas, Gerogiannis (Mandl et al. 2015)<sup>6</sup> etc.

After reviewing the literature, reports, and scientific papers on digital work platforms, we have identified common approaches and characteristics that accurately represent these platforms.

---

<sup>6</sup> Eurofound (2015), (Authors: Mandl, I., Curtarelli, M., Riso, S., Vargas, O., Gerogiannis, E.) New forms of employment, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg

Digital work platforms serve as intermediaries in the labor market, based on modern digital technologies. Connecting labor supply and demand through the platform is based on certain algorithmic management. The goal of developers of digital work platforms is usually to make a profit. Digital work platforms use different business models of operation. Depending on the model, the platform's revenues are derived from fees for the services of the supply or demand side (fixed or depending on the cost of the service) or from fees paid by both parties for the service.

Digital work platforms can be divided into two groups. The **first** is online digital work platforms, where workers perform tasks online and remotely. Such jobs include, for example, graphic design, translation services, consulting, project management, etc. Examples of online digital job platforms are Upwork, Fiverr, Freelancer, etc. The **second** group refers to such mediation of the digital work platform in the labor market, when the employee has to perform work (task) on the spot. Such jobs include, for example, transportation services (taxi and Courier services), food delivery; housekeeping; On-site training and consulting, etc. Examples of the second group of digital work platforms are Deliveroo, Glovo, Uber, etc.

In today's labor markets, numerous digital platforms of varying sizes are gaining significance in terms of employment opportunities. Some even have a global workforce in the millions (such as Uber).

Digital work platforms create flexible workplaces and provide people with additional income opportunities. However, there are a number of problems associated with the development of digital work platforms. In particular, the legislation of the countries often does not clearly define the employment status of those working within the digital work platform, and the social security of those platform workers is also a problematic issue.

## **Research results**

According to the research, common digital work platforms in Georgia are Glovo, Bolt, GG Taxi, Alo Modi, and Yandex Taxi. According to the same study, a certain amount of the population of Georgia was registered on international online work platforms such as Weblancer, Freelance, Upwork, Fiver, Guru, etc.

The distribution of online work platforms in Georgia varies significantly by region, with most online platform jobs concentrated in the capital of Georgia, Tbilisi.

Online platform works and on-location service employees opportunities in Georgia are in the following areas: IT programming, graphics and design; Marketing and Social Marketing; Advice and consulting (accounting, writing CVs, etc.); Text typing, translation and spelling, courier service (food delivery; product purchase/delivery; delivery

of various items, etc.); Transport services (taxi services); House cleaning; Plumbing; Electricity; Child care, etc.

According to the study most freelancers and on-location workers do not register on official online work platforms. For them, Facebook groups, LinkedIn, acquaintances and friends are more comfortable and easier than officially registered online work platforms.

Based on the interviews the job for those registered on online platforms can be both primary and additional. However, in their view, it is likely that only for a small proportion of registrants this job may be main. However, there are no accurate statistics on registrations in Georgia.

The interviews show that the gender composition of online work platform workers and on-location service providers in Georgia varies according to the type of activity. For example, courier, taxi, household and electrical repair work is almost entirely done by men. While pedagogical, childcare, translation and text typing activities are mostly done by females. Their age structure also varies according to the types of activities. Based on the profile of the registered employees and the results of the interview, we can conclude that the age of the employees in the above-mentioned areas of activity is mainly middle and lower age. However, in some areas (taxi service, housekeeping and tidying) the working age is above average.

Based on interviews with labor market specialists, it is evident that individuals working through digital work platforms in Georgia face the same challenges as people employed in a similar format in other countries. In particular, the employment status and social security of workers represent an important challenge of digital work platforms.

In the future, it is necessary to conduct a comprehensive nationwide survey of digital work platforms and the employees within the platform.

## **Conclusion**

Given the high levels of unemployment and ineffective employment in Georgia, the current labor market situation demands non-traditional methods for improvement. Employment within the framework of the digital work platform should be considered as a new form of employment for the population, which can, to some extent, improve the situation in the labor market.

It is clear that online work platforms are at an early stage of development in Georgia.

Services within digital work platforms and employment within the platform is a new form of employment in Georgia, which is gradually becoming more popular. It should also be noted that there is no accurate information about digital work platforms and the employees within them, which complicates the complex analysis of the issue.

The development of online platform work in Georgia will help alleviate (not completely solve) the acute unemployment problem in the country. It will also enable the population of the regions of Georgia, especially young people, to be fully employed on the ground (without migration to the capital or abroad) or to earn an additional source of income. Similarly, the development of online platforms will help to ground qualified personnel who are very important for the development of the country's economy today, and the demand for such personnel will increase in the future (for example, IT specialists).

For digital work platforms to thrive, it is crucial to have the right conditions in place. This primarily involves ensuring that the workforce is adequately prepared to meet the demands of the labor market. To achieve this, workforce training should focus on the knowledge, skills, and qualifications required to perform the tasks and jobs offered within the platform's framework.

In the future, the extent to which digital work platforms will be utilized for employment opportunities will be influenced by factors such as the accessibility of information technology infrastructure throughout the country, the potential for workforce training in information technology, as well as the proficiency of the workforce in foreign languages, etc.

The legislation should determine the status of employees within the digital work platform, which will regulate their social security issues and also enable them to stay informed about new employment opportunities.

### **Human Studies:**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

**Funding Statement:** The authors did not obtain any funding for this research.

**Data Availability:** All the data are included in the content of the paper.

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors reported no conflict of interest.

### **References:**

1. Choudary S.P.; The architecture of digital labour platforms: Policy recommendations on platform design for worker well-being. 2018. [https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---cabinet/documents/publication/wcms\\_630603.pdf](https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---cabinet/documents/publication/wcms_630603.pdf)



2. Chernykh E.A. Socio-demographic characteristics and quality of employment of platform workers in Russia and the world. *Economic and Social Changes: Facts, Trends, Forecast*, 2021, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 172–187. DOI: 10.15838/esc.2021.2.74.11
3. Farrell, D and Greig, F. 2016. “Paychecks, payday, and the online platform economy: Big data on income volatility” JPMorgan Chase Institute.
4. Howson, K., Johnston, H., Cole, M., Ferrari, F., Ustek-Spilda, F., & Graham, M. (2022). Unpaid labour and territorial extraction in digital value networks. *Global Networks*, 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/glob.12407>
5. Howson, K., Ferrari, F., Salem, N., Johnston, H., Katta, S., Heeks, R., & Graham, M. (2022). Driving the digital value network: Economic geographies of global platform capitalism. *Global Networks*, 22(4), 631–648. <https://doi.org/10.1111/glob.12358>
6. Johnston, H., Ergun, O., Schor, J., & Chen, L. *Is employment status compatible with the on-demand platform economy: Evidence from a natural experiment*. Available at: <https://cpb-us-w2.wpmucdn.com/sites.northeastern.edu/dist/2/204/files/2022/02/Johnston-et.-al-2022-Employment-Status-and-Gig-Economy-1.pdf>
7. Kvirkvaia, M.; Kikutadze, V.; Sikharulidze, D.; Shaburishvili, S. & Charaia, V. (2018), ‘Study of factors affecting young people’s professional orientation in Georgia’, *Globalization & Business*. VOL 3. pp. 233–242. <https://eugb.ge/index.php/111/article/view/233>
8. Kvirkvaia, M. (2023). Digital Work Platforms in the Modern Labor Market. In: Kupiek, M., Brandmeier, R.A. (eds) *The Digital Transformation of Georgia*. Progress in IS. Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-26451-1\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-26451-1_1)
9. Kikutadze, V., Kvirkvaia, M., Daghelishvili, N. & Tavkheldidze, T. & G. G., 2021. COOPERATION BETWEEN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AND EMPLOYERS IN GEORGIA”. [10.36172/EKONOMISTI.2021.XVII.02.Kvirkvaia.Kikutadze.Daghelishvili.Tavkheldidze.Gujaraidze](https://doi.org/10.36172/EKONOMISTI.2021.XVII.02.Kvirkvaia.Kikutadze.Daghelishvili.Tavkheldidze.Gujaraidze)
10. Kvirkvaia, M. (2021). Platform work-Georgia. Country profile. [https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-06/platform\\_work\\_georgia\\_0.pdf](https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-06/platform_work_georgia_0.pdf). In European Training Foundation report (ETF): *The future of work – New forms of employment in the Eastern Partnership countries: Platform work*. [https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-07/future\\_of\\_work\\_platform\\_work\\_in\\_eap\\_countries.pdf](https://www.etf.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-07/future_of_work_platform_work_in_eap_countries.pdf).



11. Kvirkvaia, M., 2016. "Analysis of employment and unemployment in municipalities of Georgia". <https://eujournal.org/index.php/esj/article/view/6833> . European Scientific Journal, ESJ, Volume 2, pp. 159-170.
12. Vallas, Steven, and Juliet B Schor. (2020) 'What Do Platforms Do? Understanding the Gig Economy', Annual Review of Sociology, 46, 273-294.
13. Tsartsidze, 2018. UNEMPLOYMENT AND THE EFFECTIVE EMPLOYMENT PROBLEMS IN GEORGIA UNDER THE MODERN GLOBALIZATION TERMS. Journal of International Economic Research, 4 (1). Pp. 89-95. ISSN 2500-9656.
14. European Commission, Directorate-General for Employment, Social Affairs and Inclusion, (2021) *Digital labour platforms in the EU : mapping and business models : final report*. Publications Office. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2767/224624>
15. Eurofound (2015), (Authors: Mandl, I., Curtarelli, M., Riso, S., Vargas, O., Gerogiannis, E.) New forms of employment, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg
16. Eurofound (2018), Automation, digitization and platforms: Implications for work and employment, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg.
17. ETF, 2021. European Training Foundation. „THE FUTURE OF WORK. New forms of employment in the Eastern Partnership countries: Platform work. <https://www.etf.europa.eu/en/publications-and-resources/publications/future-work-new-forms-employment-eastern-partnership>, Turin: ETF.
18. International Labour Organization. "World Employment Social Outlook 2021: The Role of Digital Labour Platforms in Transforming the World of Work." Ilo.org, 2021. <https://ilo.org/infostories/Campaigns/WESO/World-Employment-Social-Outlook-Report-2021#platform-work/gigs>.
19. Pulignano, V., Grimshaw, D., Domecka, M., & Vermeerbergen, L. (2023). Why does unpaid labour vary among digital labour platforms? Exploring socio-technical platform regimes of worker autonomy. Human Relations, 0(0). <https://doi.org/10.1177/00187267231179901>
20. World Economic Forum. 2020. The Promise of Platform Work: Understanding the Ecosystem. <https://www.weforum.org/whitepapers/the-promise-of-platform-work-understanding-the-ecosystem/>