

**Paper: “Formulationg the Strategy for Agrotourism Destinations: Nginggo Tourism Vilage, Samigaluh, Kulon Progo”**

**Submitted: 22 September 2023**

**Accepted: 23 December 2023**

**Published: 31 December 2023**

Corresponding Author: Umi Murtini

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n34p34](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n34p34)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Lee Alubala  
African Nazarene University, Kenya

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Naveed Hussain Shah  
University of Lakki Marwat, Pakistan

-----  
Reviewer A:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Yes

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Yes, the author needs to proof read the article.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body contains some formatting and grammatical errors

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Yes

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

No, most of the sources in the references have not been cited in the article.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The article is good and aligned with the title. There is however, a need to review the grammar and references before publishing.

-----

-----  
Reviewer E:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

the title is clear and good

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract presents objective, methods, and the results obtained clearly.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Not to my knowledge

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The article does not fill any gap in the literature in terms of in the absence of research questions addressed.

There is the need for authors to estimate their model using the Fully Modified OLS which is non-parametric approach that corrects for both serial correlation in errors and endogeneity in regressors.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The results need to be extensively discussed in relation to related studies  
provide a section on policy implications  
provide a detailed interpretation of the results

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The concluding remarks are in order

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

very Okay

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The article does not fill any gap in the literature in terms of in the absence of research questions addressed.

There is the need for authors to estimate their model using the Fully Modified OLS which is non-parametric approach that corrects for both serial correlation in errors and endogeneity in regressors.

-----

-----  
Reviewer F:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Fine

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

appropriate

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

improvement needed

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Needs latest if possible although existing is fine

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Needs improvement

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

fine and suits contents

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Latest references needed

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Needs to enhance the paper quality with latest methodology

-----