

Paper: “Effet de l’Ancrage des Anticipations d’Inflation Sous le Régime Intérimaire du Taux de Change au Maroc”

Submitted: 20 November 2023

Accepted: 23 December 2023

Published: 31 December 2023

Corresponding Author: Imane Saad-Allah

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n34p70](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n34p70)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Soumaya Out
Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Mbang Olga
University of Yaoundé 2 Soa, IRIC

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Soumaya OUTELLOU	
University/Country: (ENCG) Ibn Tofail University – Morocco-	
Date Manuscript Received: 02/12/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 06/12/2023
Manuscript Title: L’effet récessif de l’ancrage des anticipations d’inflation sous le régime intérimaire du taux de change au Maroc	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1211/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Olga Marthe Mbang	
University/Country: University of Yaoundé 2 Soa/ IRIC	
Date Manuscript Received: 03/12/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 20/12/2023
Manuscript Title: L'effet récessif de l'ancrage des anticipations d'inflation sous le régime intérimaire du taux de change au Maroc	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1211/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>L'objectif n'est pas clairement présenté.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Il faut restructurer la méthodologie</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il est suggéré à l'auteur de reformuler sa titraile et de spécifier une seule question de recherche qui pourrait avoir des questions spécifiques donnant lieu à des objectifs et hypothèses spécifiques si nécessaire. Dans le cadre de la présente étude, l'auteur formule une hypothèse centrale et une hypothèse alternative qu'il pouvait occulter sans que cela ne change grand-chose à la pertinence de la recherche. Donc il ne peut avoir cinq questions de recherche. Lesdites questions soulevées déclinent en réalité les grandes articulations du travail. Donc ce ne sont pas à proprement parler des questions de recherche.

L'objectif de l'étude doit être clairement spécifié au résumé.

Il y a un besoin de restructuration du travail. On n'a pas d'autant de sections. Toutes les sections relatives à la modélisation peuvent être regroupées en une seule sous un grand titre « stratégie empirique » par exemple. Une autre peut porter sur la « revue de la littérature » et une autre sur les « résultats et recommandation ».

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: