

Paper: “Effet de la Diffusion des TIC Sur la Croissance Economique dans les Pays de l’UEMOA”

Submitted: 26 August 2023

Accepted: 30 November 2023

Published: 31 December 2023

Corresponding Author: Salouka Yacouba

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n34p103](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n34p103)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Soumaya Out
Ibn Tofail University, Morocco

Reviewer 2: Massar Sene
Universite Gaston Berger De Saint-Louis, Senegal

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Soumaya OUTELLOU	
University/Country: (ENCG) Ibn Tofail University – Morocco-	
Date Manuscript Received: 13/10/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 20/10/2023
Manuscript Title: Effet de la diffusion des TIC sur la croissance économique dans les pays de l'UEMOA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0915/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>Le titre est parfaitement adapté au contenu de l'article</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Le résumé englobe l'objectif, la méthodologie adoptée, ainsi que les résultats de l'étude</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>La méthodologie est exposée de manière claire et détaillée</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received:13-10-2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 20-10-2023
Manuscript Title: Effet de la diffusion des TIC sur la croissance économique dans les pays de l'UEMOA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0915/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4/5
<i>LE TITRE EST GLOBALEMENT CORRECT ET REFLETE LE CONTENU DE L'ARTICLE</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2.5/5
<i>LE RESUME PRESENTE CERTES CERTES LES 3 ASPECTS EVOQUES MAIS IL Y MANQUE LA PROBLEMATIQUE ET LA PRESENTATION DES 7 PAYS DE L'UEOMA ETUDIES. DE CE POINT DEVUE JE NE SUIS PAS SATISFAIT</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	1/5
<i>LE TEXTE COMPORTE BEAUCOUP TROP DE FAUTES D'ORTHOGRAPHE, DE GRAMMAIRE, DE CONJUGAISON ET DE CONSTRUCTION. C'EST UNE DEFAILLANCE MAJEURE DE CE PAPIER.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4/5
<i>LA METHODE EST EXPLIQUEE MAIS POUR LA CONFIRMER DAVANTAGE CELA DOIT ETRE RELU PAR UN SPECIALISTE DE LA DISCIPLINE</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2/5
<i>LES RESULTATS POSENT UN PROBLEME MEME DE VALIDITE SCIENTIFIQUE. LES AUTEURS SE SONT BASES SUR DES ETUDES DEJA FAITES. ON NE ENT PAS LA VALEUR AJOUTEE DU TEXTE.IL AURAIENT DU FAIRE LE TERRAIN POUR QU'ON SENTE LEUR APPORT</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>TRES MAUVAISE CONCLUSION QUI NE RESPECTE PAS LES ETAPES DE CONSTRUCTION. CETTE PARTIE EST A REPENDRE ENTIEREMENTO</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4/5
<i>LES REFERENCES SONT ADAPTEES.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

CE TEXTE NECESSITE UN GRAND TOILETTEAGE DANS LA FORME ET LE FONDS. SES GRANDES FAIBLESSES RESTENT L'EXPRESSION, LE RESUME, LA METHODOLOGIE. IL FAUT VOIR LA NOTE AUX AUTEURS DE REVUE ESJ

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: