

**Paper: “Labour and Employment Policy: Opportunities and Challenges for Undergraduate Students”**

**Submitted: 13 October 2023**

**Accepted: 25 December 2023**

**Published: 31 December 2023**

Corresponding Author: Mariam Davitadze

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2023.v19n35p99](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2023.v19n35p99)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ricardo Daniel Furfaro

Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales, Argentina

Reviewer 2: Blinded

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Ricardo Daniel Furfaro	
University/Country: Universidad de Ciencias Empresariales y Sociales/Argentina	
Date Manuscript Received: November	Date Review Report Submitted: November 6, 2023
Manuscript Title: Labour and Employment Policy: Opportunities and Challenges for Undergraduate Students	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1057/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>Title of the article is clear enough and is aligned with its contents. In order to enrich the contents, it might be helpful to include data from countries other than those existing in developed economies in Europe. It may bring valuable light on how developing economies in Latin America, Asia and Africa have faced and attempted to resolve the problems brought by the lack of adequate employment within the student community</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Abstract clearly includes reference to objects and general results of the article. However, there is no indication of research methodology used.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>There are certain grammatical errors and spelling mistakes found along the article. Sentences are sometimes too long and ideas are, in this sense, difficult to follow.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>There is a general reference to study methods focused on quantitative studies which were performed for research purposes. However, there is no reference to qualitative studies which have also been included in the article.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Results are clear and do not contain errors. Including data on how developing economies in Latin America, Asia and Africa have faced and attempted to resolve the problems brought by the lack of adequate employment within the student community, may enrich the results</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Conclusions appear to be accurate and sustained on the article contents</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>References are overall comprehensive and appropriate</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s)**

**Inclusion of data on how developing countries similar to Georgia faced and attempted to solve the challenges brought by unemployment to the student community, may be relevant. Abstract should also be revised to include certain reference to research methodologies used. Grammatical errors should also be corrected.**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**