

Paper: “**Braconnage des Elepjants a la Frontiere Nord-Est du Gabon avec le Congo**”

Submitted: 18 November 2023

Accepted: 28 December 2023

Published: 31 December 2023

Corresponding Author: Aboumgone Obame Suzy Marleine

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n35p114

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yapi Atse Calvin
Ecole Normale Supérieure Abidjan (ENS Ivory Coast)

Reviewer 2: Marjolaine Okanga-Guay
Université Omar Bongo, Gabon

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 28/11/2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/12/2023
Manuscript Title: LE BRACONNAGE DES ELEPHANTS À LA FRONTIERE NORD-EST DU GABON AVEC LE CONGO	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1207/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>Oui le titre est clair et est plus ou moins en cohérence avec le contenu. C'est un sujet d'un intérêt certain au regard de la persistance du braconnage des éléphants dans les pays africains où ces pachydermes sont encore présents. La menace qui plane sur ces animaux mérite que l'on s'y penche.</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
1. <i>Non le resumé n'est pas complét. Il doit être repris totalement, car il ya beaucoup d'insuffisances (voir les suggestions dans la manuscrit)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Le niveau en grammaire est acceptable)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(La méthodologie adoptée est acceptable)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(les résultats sont à réorganiser)A ce niveau une proposition de plan a été faite</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>La conclusion est bonne</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments) La bibliographie est bien présentée.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'auteur à le mérite de soulever les menaces qui planent sur la vie des éléphants. Toutefois, dans l'introduction, l'auteur devrait insérer la question centrale et revoir l'annonce du plan

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

L'auteur doit simplement prendre en compte les recommandations contenues dans le texte.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Okanga-Guay Marjolaine	
University/Country: Université Omar Bongo, Gabon	
Date Manuscript Received: 29/11/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: LE BRACONNAGE DE L'ELEPHANT A LA FRONTIERE NORD-EST DU GABON AVEC LE CONGO	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1207/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	1
<i>Les résumés français et anglais sont à revoir. En effet, les résumés ne correspondent pas au contenu de l'article.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Il y a quelques corrections à faire, voir le document.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Le résumé annonce des sources d'information non indiquées dans le texte. Il faudrait indiquer que l'enquête socio-économique n'est utilisée ici que pour caractériser le milieu d'étude. Car, aucun lien n'est fait entre le braconnage et le niveau socio économique de la population locale. Il aurait été justement intéressant de voir s'il existe un lien...</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Indiquer en quoi la loi relative aux parcs nationaux s'applique au braconnage (sous partie 3.1.2.). Clarifier si l'ONG Conservation Justice est la seule qui oeuvre contre le braconnage.</i> <i>Dans la discussion, le niveau de braconnage dans la zone d'étude (aussi évoqué dans les résultats) n'est pas perçu car n'est pas présenté dans son contexte national, regional et/ou continental.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	1
<i>Il manque beaucoup de références en bibliographie. Il faut aussi uniformiser la bibliographie.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Prière de consulter le document pour les corrections spécifiques et commentaires.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: N/A

