

**Paper: “Impacts Potentiels du Changement Climatique sur les Rendements du Mil et du Sorgho Cultivés dans les Communes Rurales au Niger”**

**Submitted: 26 October 2023**

**Accepted: 23 December 2023**

**Published: 31 December 2023**

Corresponding Author: Alhassane Agali

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n36p95

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Khalil Sangaré

Comité Permanent Inter-Etats de Lutte contre la Sécheresse dans le Sahel, Bukrina Faso

Reviewer 2: Konan Aubin

Université Nangui Abrogoua, Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Pagadjovongo Adama Silue

Université Péléforo Gbon Coulibaly, Korhogo, Côte d’Ivoire

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Date Manuscript Received: 15/11/2023	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <i>Impacts potentiels du changement climatiques sur les rendements des principales céréales (mil et sorgho) cultivées au Niger : cas des communes de Balleyara, Dan Issa, Dogo, Harikanassou, Illéla, Magaria et Mokko</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1120/23	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(The title is clearly and adequate to the content of the article)</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>(The abstract is acceptable)</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(There are few grammar and vocabulary mistakes)</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>(The study methods are clearly explained)</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>(The results are very good presented)</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4.5</b>
<i>(The conclusion are accurate and supported by the content)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(The references are comprehensive and clearly written)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

**Author** must take into account these observations to improve the document

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: SILUE Pagadjovongo Adama	
University/Country: Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly (Côte d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 15 octobre 2023	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <i>Impacts potentiels du changement climatique sur les rendements des principales céréales (mil et sorgho) cultivées au Niger : cas des communes de Balleyara, Dan Issa, Dogo, Harikanassou, Illéla, Magaria et Mokko</i>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>

Le titre reflète le contenu de l'article mais il mérite une légère modification car je le trouve un peu long.	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
Le résumé est bien structuré mais on note quelques fautes d'orthographe à corriger.	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
L'article contient des fautes grammaticales et d'orthographe mineurs qu'il faudra corriger	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
La méthode d'étude est bien énoncée mais présente un tableau qui n'est pas énoncé dans le texte. Par ailleurs, augmenter la taille de certaines formules pour qu'elles soient plus lisibles.	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
Les résultats sont clairement exposés mais contient de petites confusions et fautes d'orthographe qu'il faudra corriger.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
La conclusion est bien rédigée et reflète le contenu de l'article.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
Les références sont conformes à la thématique mais toutes les références énumérées dans le corps du texte de l'article doivent être correctement citées dans la section des références.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Le manuscrit doit être strictement uniforme (taille et police) et conforme au format de la revue EJS.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

L'article aborde un sujet d'actualité et contient des données qui sont capitalisables. Si les auteurs mettent à jour le document en fonction des commentaires il peut être publié.