EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Paper: "Infestations des Gares Routières par les Punaises de Lit à Abidjan et Risque de Dissémination en Côte d'Ivoire"

YEARS

Submitted: 28 June 2023 Accepted: 28 December 2023 Published: 31 December 2023

Corresponding Author: Jean-Michel-Vianney Beugre

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2023.v19n36p227

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Bertille Kadio Université Peleforo Gon Coulibaly (UPGC) de Korhogo, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Freddy Marcelin Agboko Laboratoire National de Biologie Clinique et de Santé Publique, Ministère de la Santé Publique, et de la Population, Bangui, République Centrafricaine

Reviewer 3: Moroh Akaza Université Jean Lorougnon Guédé, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 4: Alpha Seydou Yaro Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako), Mali

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| Reviewer Name: KADIO Ekien Alloua Ahébé Bertille | |
|---|--|
| University/Country: Université Peleforo Gon d'Ivoire | Coulibaly (UPGC) de Korhogo / Côte |
| Date Manuscript Received: 13/10/2023 | Date Review Report Submitted: 21/10/2023 |
| Manuscript Title: PUNAISES DE LITS ROUTIERES A ABIDJAN ET RISQUE D'IVOIRE | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 32-07-2023 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the p | paper: Yes |
| | |

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

| Questions Rating Result | |
|-------------------------|--|
|-------------------------|--|

| | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|---|----------------------------------|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 3 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| The title can be reworded as follows : « Infestation des gares a punaises de lits à Abidjan et risque de dissémination en Côte d | 1 |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| The abstract is clear and presents objects, methods and results | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| There are few grammatical errors. | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| The method is easy to understand | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> It would be preferable to present the results of tables 1 and 2 histograms. | more clearly in |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| The conclusion is well written. | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| References are recent and appropriate. | |

Accepted, no revision needed

Accepted, minor revision needed

Return for major revision and resubmission

Х

| Reject | |
|--------|--|
|--------|--|

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Reword the title and use figures to present the results instead of table.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The manuscript is well drafted and can be accepted after minor revisions.

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| Reviewer Name: AGBOKO | | |
|---|---|--|
| University/Country: CAR | | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 20-11- 2023 | Date Review Report Submitted: 21-11- 2023 | |
| Manuscript Title: Bed bugs: infestation of roadside stations in abidjan and risk of dissemination in Côte d'Ivoire | | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: | | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of the paper: Yes | is paper, is available in the "review history" of the | |
| Vou approve this review report is available in t | the "review history" of the paper. Ves | |

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

| Questions | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|---|--|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 |

| (Please insert your comments) | |
|--|---|
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 2 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |

| Accepted, no revision needed | |
|--|---|
| Accepted, minor revision needed | x |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| Reviewer Name: AKAZA Moroh Joseph | |
|---|--|
| University/Country: Université Jean Lorou | ıgnon Guédé / Côte d'Ivoire |
| Date Manuscript Received: 19 novembre 2023 | Date Review Report Submitted: 26 novembre 2023 |
| Manuscript Title: PUNAISES DE LIT ROUTIERES A ABIDJAN ET RIS COTE D'IVOIRE | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 0732/23 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the | ne paper: Yes |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this p paper: Yes | paper, is available in the "review history" of the |
| You approve, this review report is available in the | "review history" of the paper: Yess |

Evaluation Criteria:

| | Rating Result |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Questions | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |

| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 |
|---|-------------------------|
| (Oui le titre réflète le contenu du manuscrit) | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 3 |
| (Le résumé comporte tous les éléments mais nécessite d'être ré | organisé) |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 5 |
| (nons) | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3 |
| (Quelques rectifications et ajouts sont nécessaires. Des élémen doivent intégrés ici. | ts dans le résumé |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| (Figures 2, 3 manquen. Les numéros des figures doivent être re doivent être réorganisés) | ectifiés. Les résultats |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content | 5 |
| (Bonne conclusion) | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 2 |
| (Des références dans la liste et absentes du texte. La liste des références n'est pas correctement écrites) | |

| Accepted, no revision needed | |
|--|---|
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Chers collègues et Apprenants (s'il y en a comme co-auteurs), je vous souhaite de bien vouloir considérer et prendre en compte mes remarques, observations et réorganisations et aussi de réaliser ce qui est demandé. L'objectif est d'améliorer le manuscrit dans sa forme et son fond ainsi qa qualité qui est déjà appréciable. C'est une étude intéressante.

Certains points de Résultats n'ont pas été discutés.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Cher Editeur, merci pour la considération en me soumettant la révision du manuscrit proposé à publication dans ESJ. C'est une investigation intéressante en vue de prévenir un problème de santé publique lié à la dispersion à grande échelle des punaises de lit. Nous connaissons les espèces et l'ampleur de leur présence dans les gares routières d'Abidjan. Ces résultats doivent être publiés. Mais avant, veillez à ce que les auteurs révisent le manuscrit en prenant en compte réellement mes apports.

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| Reviewer Name: Alpha Seydou YARO | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| University/Country: USTTB (Université des Sciences, des Techniques et des Technologies de Bamako) /Bamako, Mali. | | | | |
| Date Manuscript Received: 20 novembre 2023 | Date Review Report Submitted: 28 novembre 2023 | | | |
| 1 | LITS : INFESTATION DES GARES UE DE DISSEMINATION EN COTE | | | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: | | | | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: YES | | | | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper: YES | paper, is available in the "review history" of the | | | |

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: YES

Evaluation Criteria:

| | Rating Result |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
| Questions | [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |

| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 4 |
|--|---|
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 3 . There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 2 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 4 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 3 |
| (Please insert your comments) | |

| Accepted, no revision needed | |
|--|---|
| Accepted, minor revision needed | X |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Improved the methodology and results sections.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: