

Paper: “Diversite et Degre d’Infestation de la Flore Adventice dans les Parcelles Rizicoles du Departement de Man (Cote d’Ivoire)”

Submitted: 23 October 2023

Accepted: 12 January 2024

Published: 31 January 2024

Corresponding Author: Koffi Benjamin Kouman

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n3p82

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Yao Jean-Clovis Kouadio
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Kaudjhis Chimène
Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer 3: Kouakou John Kouadio
Université Felix Houphouët Boigny, Côte d’Ivoire

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, the content conforms to the title.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Most of the spelling and grammatical errors have been corrected and the text as a whole is clear.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

comments on errors in the body of the text are indicated in the correction follow-up

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Some of the references listed are not in the body of the text. It is up to the authors to remove them.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer O:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the title is very clear and frames the activities carried out well

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

the summary summarizes the different results obtained. As for the methodology, it is very clear and well detailed to reproduce the same activities. the results were well arranged

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

the agreements are well respected, however a few spelling points need to be noted

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

the methods are clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

some spelling points to review

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

the conclusion and the summary are well established, nothing to say

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

there are 25 references in the text and all 25 are in the reference list

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

reread the document, there are some spelling points to see, your work is excellent and written well
