

Paper: “**Effets Environnementaux et Sanitaires de la Teinture Artisanale à Dianéguela en Commune VI du District de Bamako”**

Submitted: 28 September 2023

Accepted: 17 January 2024

Published: 31 January 2024

Corresponding Author: Abdoulkadri Toure

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n3p99

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Eugene Gnonlonfin
Université d'Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Bénin

Reviewer 2: Gabriel Mukabo Okito
Université du Burundi, UB

Reviewer D:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title should not be too long, and should reflect the manuscript's content.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The Abstract should also contain the objective of the study, a brief summary of the methodology, some important results (i.e. important features should be given), some conclusions drawn from the important features.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

In general, the text is well written, although there are a few spelling mistakes that need to be corrected.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods used should be clearly stated.

The author should indicate the reference (if any) of the methods used.

- A subtitle “Statistical analysis” should be provided (if applicable). The statistical method or test(s) used (if any) should be provided under this section.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The text of the results should include the levels of significance if the results were statistically analyzed.

The data in Tables and Figures should be statistically analyzed (if possible) and comparisons should be done. Levels of significance of comparisons should be indicated under the corresponding table or figure.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion must be clear and different from the summary.

The summary should be reworded.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The author should strictly follow the Author Guide of the journal to arrange all the references, both in the text and in the Reference section of the manuscript.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

As the article deals with water pollution, i expected to see the result of the water quality index a,d the type of possible use that could be applied to this water. That would give more meaning to the results.

Reviewer J:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre peut être reformulé afin d'être plus spécifique à la problématique étudiée ainsi qu'aux analyses faites dans le document.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé est bien clair et présente les objectifs, la méthodologie et les résultats.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Le document est bien écrit et contient très peu d'erreurs grammaticales et de fautes d'orthographe.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthodologie est bien expliquée selon la démarche de travail utilisée.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps du document globalement est assez bien rédigé. Toutefois, il y a assez de répétition nécessitant des reformulations et de la concision dans les résultats et la discussion. La carte n°1 devrait être reprise pour être plus lisible.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Les conclusion et résumé sont en harmonie avec le contenu du document.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

La liste des références n'est pas totalement appropriée. Certaines références citées sont absentes dans le document. Les pages de références ne sont pas prises en compte dans la liste.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'article est bien rédigé dans son ensemble. Cependant, il est nécessaire de revoir la reformulation du titre, de revoir des paragraphes répétés ou des analyses redondantes afin d'améliorer la concision de l'ensemble du document.

Je suggère aux auteurs de faire une relecture du document en prenant en compte les propositions de demande de corrections.
