

Paper: “Fréquence et Facteurs Associés au Paludisme chez les Femmes Enceintes dans les Maternités Périphériques Publiques de Parakou (Bénin) en 2018”

Submitted: 09 November 2023

Accepted: 28 December 2024

Published: 31 January 2024

Corresponding Author: Obossou Awadé A. Achille

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n3p134

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 16 /11/ 2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/11/23
Manuscript Title: Fréquence et facteurs associés au paludisme chez les femmes enceintes dans les maternités périphériques publiques de Parakou (Bénin) en 2018	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---18.---49.11.2023	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Est ce que les gestantes présentaient-elles des signes cliniques du paludisme ?)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(remplacer prevalence par fréquence)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(A compléter)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Etude très pertinente. Les facteurs associés permettront de définir les axes de la prévention contre le paludisme chez la gestante.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only