

**Paper: “La Problématique d’Intégration de la Jurisprudence Internationale sur le Délai Raisonnable d’Exécution des Jugements en Droit Burundais”**

**Submitted: 14 November 2023**

**Accepted: 26 January 2024**

**Published: 31 January 2024**

Corresponding Author: Noël Ndikumasabo

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n2p148

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Marie Line Karam  
Lebanese University, Lebanon

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Fathi Zerari  
Université Med-Cherif Messaadia de Souk ahras, Algeria

-----  
Reviewer E:

Recommendation: Accept Submission  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes effectively

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract represents a clear summary about the issue

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The structure of the phrases can be ameliorated

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Descriptive and analytical

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Body is acceptable but a comparative recent study is missing

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Yes but the author can enlarge his view and be more scientific

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Very weak

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, no revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The list of references is very weak and the subject must be analyzed at the comparative level

-----

-----

Reviewer K:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is quite clear and relevant to the content of the article. It addresses the problem of integrating international jurisprudence on reasonable time for execution of judgments in the Burundian law, jurisprudence and practice.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract presents the discussed question and outlines the adopted method to tackle the addressed subject and envisages some proposed solutions.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The abstract in French is generally well written but the author has to consider minor errors, such as some gender discordances and the use of negation (n'est ni définie, ni détaillée par aucun texte législatif ou réglementaire, ni consacrée...)

As for the title, translated into English, the author has to write it as follows: "The problem of Integrating International Jurisprudence on Reasonable Time for Execution of Judgments in Burundian law"

In the abstract, translated into English, the author may write Latin phrases in italics. Also, Saying "the beginning of the trial" is better than "the beginning of the judgment" and in the last but third line, we would say 'promote legal certainty' instead of "promote security business".

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The author has plainly exposed the problematic of his article and has detailed the major contentious points; mainly, normative inconsistency and complexity of the execution phase in Burundi within the perspective of international jurisprudence. The author has set the objective of the article and unfolded the essential points to be analyzed.

In light of three hypotheses, suggested by the author, (s)he adopts an analysis that focuses on the jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights, that of the African Court of Human Rights, as well as the Burundian jurisprudence. Then the

author presents the results of the research, namely legal texts and court decisions in order to discuss the common causes of delay.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

This sentence has to be reformulated by the author: “La justice, en tant qu’institution, ne satisferait pas à sa fonction s’il n’était déterminé le stade où le procès prend fin, le moment où le litige est définitivement tranché”.

The author has to correct these words and phrases:

- “jugée” from the article 38 of the Burundian constitution;
- “relatif” in page 3;
- “les articles de 228 à 246” in page 5;
- “sauf en cas de négligence” in page 15 ;
- “ ... d’accepter le verdict” in page 17 ;
- “dégagés” and “ne soit pas revendiqué” in page 18.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion is appropriate and meets the posed problematic and the hypotheses formulated by the author.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The list of references is acceptable given the volume of the article, but the author must specify the necessary details of the legal texts. The author must avoid using determiners (le/la) before the reference and instead put them after the first word.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

I guess that the author would better precise the title in page 6 thus; “L'appréciation du délai raisonnable d'exécution dans la jurisprudence internationale”

-----

-----

Reviewer L:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission

---

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

L'intitulé cadre convenablement avec le contenu de l'article sauf qu'il est perfectible.  
on pourrait parler de: Le droit burundais et la problématique du délai raisonnable  
d'exécution des jugements

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Le résumé est incomplet. il ne présente pas la méthodologie utilisée. la problématique  
et les résultats y sont biens lisibles par contre.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Oui, quelques coquilles sont présentes dans le textes. Lesdites fautes ne sont pas  
nombreuses.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Oui, les méthodes ressortent très bien dans l'introduction.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Le style est clair et lisible.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Oui

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Les références ne sont pas toutes introduites dans la bibliographie.  
Par exemple, le code de procédure civile, cité plusieurs fois, n'est pas mentionné.  
Pareil pour le code de l'organisation et de la compétence judiciaire. Il est important  
qu'il les intègre.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed