

Paper: “Capacitación Financiera Inclusiva con Perspectiva de Género para Grupos Vulnerables en Zongolica, Veracruz, México”

Submitted: 30 January 2024

Accepted: 27 February 2024

Published: 29 February 2024

Corresponding Author: Francisco Javier Mejía-Ochoa

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n4p36

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Manuel Gonzalez Perez

Universidad Popular Autónoma del Estado de Puebla (UPAEP), Mexico

Reviewer 2: Liliana Fuentes Rosas

Tecnológico Nacional de México / ITS de Tierra Blanca, Mexico

Reviewer 3: Mauro Berumen

Universidad del Caribe, México

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: PhD. Manuel González Pérez	
University/Country: México	
Date Manuscript Received: January 29 2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 1 February 2024
Manuscript Title: Capacitación Financiera Inclusiva con Perspectiva de Género para Grupos Vulnerables en Altas Montañas, Veracruz, México	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 0219/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>Excellent</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>Excellent</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>No erros</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Excellent</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>Excellent</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Excellent</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Excellent</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors did an excellent job.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

This research work, in my experience, is excellent for publication.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Liliana Fuentes Rosas	
University/Country: Tecnológico Nacional de México / ITS de Tierra Blanca	
Date Manuscript Received: 01/02/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/02/2024
Manuscript Title: Capacitación Financiera Inclusiva con perspectiva de género para grupos vulnerables en Altas Montañas, Veracruz, México.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 02.19.02.2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2

<i>La región de las Altas Montañas comprende 55 municipios, el título debería especificar en cuál de ellos realizó la investigación.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Se sugiere que los resultados sean por grupo de estudio.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Tercer párrafo de la introducción, se sugiere que la frase: hasta cierto punto vaya entrecomada. Homologar que el “et al”, vaya en cursiva en todas las citas. Página 4, primer párrafo de “Inclusión financiera como...” debe ponerse en plural el “puede” ya que se está hablando de “iniciativas exitosas”</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>Se recomienda mencionar sobre la validez y confiabilidad del cuestionario aplicado, la cantidad de ítems, las dimensiones de educación financiera consideradas, mencionar si el cuestionario fue igual para los cuatro grupos bajo estudio. Se sugiere mencionar cómo se determinó el número de sujetos en cada uno de los grupos (muestra probabilística o no probabilística).</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>Se sugiere mencionar cuántos integraron cada uno de los grupos en estudio, es decir, cómo estuvieron distribuidos los 300 participantes. Se recomienda realizar resultados por cada grupo (niños, adultos mayores, madres solteras, personas con discapacidad) en lo que respecta a la comprensión financiera, adopción de prácticas financieras. También mencionar los porcentajes de mujeres, hombres y otro, que participaron en la investigación (tanto en cada grupo como en el total).</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>Si se atienden las recomendaciones posiblemente se tendrá un panorama más puntual para hacer conclusiones más pertinentes al respecto.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>Lo es.</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	

Reject	
--------	--

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Se sugiere:

- Mencionar la parte de la región de las Altas Montañas a la que corresponden los sujetos bajo estudio.
- Mencionar las características del cuestionario aplicado con su correspondiente validez y confiabilidad, las dimensiones comprendidas, el número de ítems, naturaleza de las preguntas (abiertas, dicotómicas, con escala Likert, etc.)
- Presentar los resultados por cada uno de los grupos estudiados.
- Realizar discusión comparando los hallazgos de la investigación contra los resultados de otras investigaciones similares.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2024

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

The copyrights of the report are on the publisher and the data can be used for research purposes.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Mauro Felipe Berumen Calderón	
University/Country: Universidad del Caribe / México	
Date Manuscript Received: 23/02/2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 26/02/2024
Manuscript Title: Inclusive Financial Training with a Gender Perspective for Vulnerable Groups in Zongolica, Veracruz, Mexico	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 19.02.2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
------------------	---

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title is correct and matches with the method and results presented.</i>	
2. The abstract presents objects, methods, and results.	5
<i>A minor correction was made to the English abstract.</i>	
3. There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
<i>The document is written correctly.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>The method is explained in detail.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The corrections requested from the authors are in the results section.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>The corrections of the discussion and conclusions for the authors are made in the respective section.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references are appropriate</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Estimados autores, su documento está muy bien estructurado y completo, es comprensible y fácil de leer. Se entienden muy bien sus resultados. Agregué unos comentarios e hice unas pequeñas correcciones que pueden mejorar su documento. Las correcciones las hice sobre el documento en Word para que pudieran detectarlas rápido y facilitarles los tiempos de entrega.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article is very well structured and complete, presenting coherence between the methodology, results obtained, and conclusions. The details to be corrected are minimal.