

Paper: “A Systemic Functional Analysis of Wole Soyinka’s Death and the King’s Horseman”

Submitted: 10 January 2024

Accepted: 09 February 2024

Published: 29 February 2024

Corresponding Author: Issa Djimet

Doi: /10.19044/esj.2024.v20n5p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Inayatullah Kakepoto
Quaid-E-Awam University, Pakistan

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 28.01.2024	Date Review Report Submitted: 1.02.2024
Manuscript Title: “The Function of Classical African Literary Works: A Systemic Functional Analysis of Wole Soyinka’s <i>Death and the King’s Horseman</i> ”	
ESJ Manuscript Number: ---19---44.01.2024	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments) The title could also be done with inversion, like: A Systemic Functional Analysis of Wole Soyinka’s <i>Death and the King’s Horseman</i></i>	

(The Function of Classical African Literary Works)	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Yes, but I suggest to the author to review the list of keywords.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> To make final technical editing of the text.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The interpretation uses mixed methods (quantitative and qualitative linguistic data of the systemic functional linguistics and some additional cultural analysis referring to the specific African worldview).	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Some notions like ancestral values (honesty etc.) should have more generic connotation, because they are also anthropologic, universal...	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments) ----</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	YES, X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2023

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Prof. Dr. Inayatullah Kakepoto	
University/Country: Pakistan	
Date Manuscript Received: 22-01-2023	Date Review Report Submitted: 04-02-2024
Manuscript Title: The Function of Classical African Literary Works: A Systemic Functional Analysis of Wole Soyinka's Death and the King's Horseman	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0144/24	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Yes, it's clear and presents an appealing picture. However, full stop (.) added in the title be removed)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Yes, abstract is in acceptable form for the paper)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(Yes, there are certain grammatical errors in the paper. The authors are strongly advised to revisit complete paper and rectify the grammatical errors.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4

<i>(Yes, methodology section is appropriate)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Tables present a very confusing and gloomy picture. They need to be reset and results be explained in simple form and format)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Yes)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Yes)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors are strongly advised to revisit the complete paper and rectify the grammatical mistakes. Further, the tables should be presented in an accepted form and format of the paper.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The paper needs to be accepted for publication and incorporating suggested suggestions.

10 YEARS

6 REASONS TO PUBLISH WITH ESJ

- Visibility
- Agility
- Internationalisation
- Quality
- Transparency
- Open Access

ESJ

EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL